



# Some Fixed Point Theorems for $R_{\tilde{r}}$ -Contraction and $R_{\tilde{r}}$ -Kannan Mappings in Metric Spaces

Thanatporn Bantaojai<sup>1</sup>, Chatsuda Chanmanee<sup>2</sup>,  
Patchara Muangkarn<sup>2</sup>, Cholatis Suanoom<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mathematics English Program, Faculty of Education, Valaya Alongkorn Rajabhat University under the Royal Patronage, Pathum Thani 13180, Thailand

<sup>2</sup>Program of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Kamphaengphet Rajabhat University, Kamphaengphet 62000, Thailand

Received 16 July 2020; Received in revised form 16 January 2021

Accepted 4 March 2021; Available online 6 September 2021

## ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to extend and improve some results concerning of  $R'$ -max-Kannan and  $R''$ -Kannan mappings to  $R_{\tilde{r}}$ -contraction and  $R_{\tilde{r}}$ -Kannan mappings. Second, we establish new mapping, that is a  $R_{\tilde{r}}$ -contraction and  $R_{\tilde{r}}$ -Kannan mappings. More than that, we prove the results of fixed point for such mappings in metric spaces.

**Keywords:** Fixed point; Metric spaces;  $b$ -metric spaces;  $R_{\tilde{r}}$ -contraction;  $R_{\tilde{r}}$ -function

## 1. Introduction

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $T$  be a mapping from  $X$  into itself. A mapping  $T$  is a contraction if there exists a number  $r \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq rd(x, y) \quad (1.1)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . The well-known Banach contraction principle is the following: If  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is a contraction mapping of a complete metric space  $X$  into itself, then

1. there is  $x^*$  in  $X$  which is a unique

fixed-point,

2.  $T^n x \rightarrow x^*$  for all  $x \in X$ ,
3.  $d(T^n x, x) \leq \frac{r^n}{1-r} d(x, Tx), \forall x \in X$ .

The theorem of Banach and its extensions usually are proved by the fact that the geometrical series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n$  is convergent. Some different proof of the Banach theorem is given by Kannan [1], where he investigated properties of subsets of  $X$ , defined as  $S_r = \{x \in X : d(x, Tx) \leq r\}, 0 < r < +\infty$ . Fur-

ther, Kannan [2] showed the following: If  $X$  is a complete metric space and mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  satisfies the following condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq r(d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)) \quad (1.2)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $0 < r < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $T$  has exactly a fixed point in  $X$ . The condition (1.1) and (1.2) are independent, as it was shown by two examples in [2].

In 1972, Bianchini [3] introduced generalized Kannan mapping which generalized the concept of Kannan [2] as follows: Let  $T$  be a self-mapping on a metric space  $X$ . A mapping  $T$  is called a generalized Kannan mapping or Bianchini mapping if there exists  $r \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq r \max\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\} \quad (1.3)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

In 2015, Khojasteh et al. [4] introduced the notion of  $Z$ -contraction defined by simulation function. Then, they proved a new fixed point theorem concerning  $Z$ -contraction which generalizes Banach's contraction principle. Recently, Roldan-López-de-Hierro and Shahzad [5] introduced the concept of  $R$ -contraction defined by  $R$ -function in order to generalize the previous results.

In 2017, Mongkolkeha et al. [6] introduced a simulation function in the framework of  $b$ -metric spaces showed below:

**Definition 1.1** ([6]). Let  $K$  be a given real number such that  $K \geq 1$ . A  $K$ -simulation function is a mapping  $\zeta : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- ( $\zeta_1$ )  $\zeta(0, 0) = 0$ ,
- ( $\zeta_2$ )  $\zeta(Kt, s) \leq s - Kt$ , for all  $t, s > 0$ ,
- ( $\zeta_3$ ) if  $\{t_n\}, \{s_n\}$  are sequences in  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Kt_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n > 0$  and

$t_n < s_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(Kt_n, s_n) < 0.$$

The class of all  $K$ -simulation functions  $\zeta : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is denoted by  $Z^*$ .

**Example 1.2** ([6]). Let  $\lambda, K \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\lambda < 1$  and  $K \geq 1$ . Define the mapping  $\zeta : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\zeta(Kt, s) = \begin{cases} s - Kt & \text{if } s < t, \\ \frac{\lambda s - Kt}{Ks + 1} & \text{if } s \geq t. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\zeta \in Z^*$  but  $\zeta \notin Z$ , where  $Z$  is simulation functions and  $Z^*$  is  $K$ -simulation functions.

In 2018, Wiriyaopongsanon and Phudolsitthiphat [7] defined a generalization of  $R$ -contraction in  $b$ -metric spaces, called  $R'$ -contractions, via  $R'$ -functions and proved the existence and uniqueness of fixed point for such classes of mappings in complete  $b$ -metric spaces.

**Definition 1.3** ([7]). Let  $K$  be a given real number such that  $K \geq 1$ . A function  $\tilde{n} : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called  $R'$ -function if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- ( $\tilde{n}'_1$ ) If  $\{a_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  is a sequence such that  $\tilde{n}(Ka_{n+1}, a_n) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ .
- ( $\tilde{n}'_2$ ) If  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  are two sequences such that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ka_n = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = L \geq 0$  and verifying that  $L < Ka_n$  and  $\tilde{n}(Ka_n, b_n) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $L = 0$ . The class of all  $R'$ -functions  $\tilde{n} : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is denoted by  $R^*$ . We also consider the following property.
- ( $\tilde{n}'_3$ ) If  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  are two sequences such that  $b_n \rightarrow 0$  and

$\tilde{n}(Ka_n, b_n) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ .

**Lemma 1.4** ([7]). *Every  $K$ -simulation function is a  $R$ -function that also verifies  $(\tilde{n}'_3)$ .*

**Definition 1.5** ([7]). Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is called  $R$ -contraction if there exists an  $R$ -function  $\tilde{n} : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $ran(d) \subseteq A$  and  $\tilde{n}(d(Tx, Ty), d(x, y)) > 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x \neq y$ .

Notice that if we take  $\tilde{n}(t, s) = \lambda s - t$  for all  $s, t \geq 0$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$  in Definition 1.5, then  $R$ -contraction become the Banach contraction.

**Theorem 1.6** ([7]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $K \geq 1$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be  $R'$ -contraction with respect  $\tilde{n} \in R^*$ . If  $\tilde{n}(Kt, s) \leq s - Kt$  for all  $s, t \in (0, \infty)$  then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

In 2019, Cholatis et al. [8] improved  $R'$ -contractions and via  $R'$ -functions mappings to  $R'$ -Max-Kanan and  $R''$ -Kanan mappings by using the concept of Kanan mappings. Second, who establish new mapping, that is  $R'$ -Max-Kanan and  $R''$ -Kanan mappings and prove the results of fixed point for  $R'$ -Max-Kanan and  $R''$ -Kanan mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces. Moreover, who obtain fixed point theorems for  $R'$ -Max-Kanan and  $R''$ -Kanan mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces

**Theorem 1.7** ([8]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $K \geq 1$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be  $R'$ -Max-Kanan mapping, i.e.,  $\tilde{n}(2Kd(Tx, Ty), \max\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\}) > 0$ , with respect to  $\tilde{n} \in R^*$ . If  $\tilde{n}(2Kt, s) \leq s - 2Kt$  for all  $s, t \in (0, \infty)$  then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

**Definition 1.8** ([8]). Let  $K$  be a given real number such that  $K \geq 1$ . A function  $\tilde{n} : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called  $R''$ -function if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- $(\tilde{n}'_1)$  If  $\{a_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  is a sequence such that  $\tilde{n}(2Ka_{n+1}, a_n + a_{n+1}) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ .
- $(\tilde{n}'_2)$  If  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  are two sequences such that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ka_n = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = L \geq 0$  and verifying that  $L < Ka_n$  and  $\tilde{n}(Ka_n, b_n) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $L = 0$ . The class of all  $R''$ -functions  $\tilde{n} : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . is denoted by  $R^{**}$ . We also consider the following property.
- $(\tilde{n}'_3)$  If  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  are two sequences such that  $b_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\tilde{n}(Ka_n, b_n) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ .

**Theorem 1.9** ([8]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $K \geq 1$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be  $R''$ -Kannan mapping, i.e.,  $\tilde{n}(2Kd(Tx, Ty), \max\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\}) > 0$ , with respect to  $\tilde{n} \in R^*$ . If  $\tilde{n}(2Kt, s) \leq s - 2Kt$  for all  $s, t \in (0, \infty)$  then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

The purpose of this paper is to extend and improve some results concerning of  $R'$ -max-Kannan and  $R''$ -Kannan mappings to  $R_{\tilde{n}}$ -contraction and  $R_{\tilde{n}}$ -Kannan mappings. Second, we establish new mapping, that is a  $R_{\tilde{n}}$ -contraction and  $R_{\tilde{n}}$ -Kannan mappings. More than that, we prove the results of fixed point for such mappings in metric spaces.

## 2. Main Results

In this section, we prove fixed point theorems for  $R_{\tilde{n}}$ -contraction and  $R_{\tilde{n}}$ -Kannan mappings in metric spaces.

**Definition 2.1.** A function  $\tilde{n} : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called  $R_{\tilde{n}}$ -function if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- ( $\tilde{n}_1$ ) If  $\{a_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  is a sequence such that  $\tilde{n}(2a_{n+1}, a_n + 2a_{n+1} + a_{n+2}) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ .
- ( $\tilde{n}_2$ ) If  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  are two sequences such that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = L \geq 0$  and verifying that  $L < a_n$  and  $\tilde{n}(a_n, b_n) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $L = 0$ .
- ( $\tilde{n}_3$ ) If  $s \geq l$ , then  $\tilde{n}(t, s) \geq \tilde{n}(t, l)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric and suppose that let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be  $R_{\tilde{n}}$ -contraction mapping with respect to  $\tilde{n} \in R^*$ , i.e.

$$\tilde{n}(2d(Tx, Ty), d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)) > 0$$

for all  $x \in X$ . If  $\tilde{n}(t, s) \leq s - t$  for all  $s, t \in (0, \infty)$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be a arbitrary point. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be Picard sequence of  $T$  based on  $x_0$ , that is  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . If there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_{n_0+1} = x_{n_0}$ , then  $Tx_{n_0} = x_{n_0}$  which implies that  $x_{n_0}$  is a fixed point. Assume  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\{a_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  be a sequence defined by  $a_n = d(x_n, x_{n+1}) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By  $R_{\tilde{n}}$ -contraction mapping, ( $\tilde{n}_1$ ) and ( $\tilde{n}_3$ ), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{n}(2a_{n+1}, a_n + 2a_{n+1} + a_{n+2}) \\ & = \tilde{n}(2d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \\ & d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \\ & d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \geq \tilde{n}(2d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), d(x_n, x_{n+2}) + \\ & d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+3})) \\ & = \tilde{n}(2d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}), d(x_n, Tx_{n+1}) \\ & + d(x_{n+1}, Tx_n)) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

By using the condition ( $\tilde{n}_1$ ), we get that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0.$$

Next, we show that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence reasoning by contradiction. If  $\{x_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence, then there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that

$$d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) > \varepsilon_0 \text{ and } d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_{k-1}}) \leq \varepsilon_0, \tag{2.1}$$

for all  $m_k > n_k \geq k$ . We consider, for any  $m_k > n_k \geq k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_0 & < d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \\ & \leq (d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_{k-1}}) + d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_k})) \\ & \leq \varepsilon_0 + d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit superior form  $k$  to infinity, we have

$$\varepsilon_0 \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \leq \varepsilon_0. \tag{2.2}$$

So,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) = \varepsilon_0. \tag{2.3}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) & \leq d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_{k-1}}) + d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}) \\ & \leq \varepsilon_0 + d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}), \end{aligned}$$

taking limit superior from  $k$  to infinity,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_{k-1}}) = \varepsilon_0. \tag{2.4}$$

Since  $d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}) \leq d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{n_k}) + d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k})$ , taking limit superior from  $k$  to

infinity,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}) \leq \varepsilon_0. \quad (2.5)$$

By  $R_{\tilde{n}}$ -contraction mapping,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \tilde{n}(2d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}), d(x_{n_{k-1}}, Tx_{m_{k-1}}) + \\ &\quad d(x_{m_{k-1}}, Tx_{n_{k-1}})) \\ &< \tilde{n}(2d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}), d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}) + \\ &\quad d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{n_k})) \\ &\leq [d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}) + d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{n_k})] \\ &\quad - 2d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.1)-(2.5), we get that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}) = 2\varepsilon_0.$$

And, so

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \\ &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}) + d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_{k-1}})) \\ &= \varepsilon_0. \end{aligned}$$

By using condition  $(\tilde{n}_2)$ ,  $\varepsilon_0 = 0$ . That is a contradiction. Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $(X, d)$  is complete, there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow z$ . By definition of convergence sequence, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$d(x_n, z) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } n > N. \quad (2.6)$$

Next, we will show that  $z$  is fixed point. Let  $\Omega := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : d(x_n, z) = 0\}$ . Assume that  $\Omega$  is not finite, then we can find  $n_0 > N$  such that  $d(x_{n_0}, z) = 0$  i.e.  $x_{n_0} = z$ . Since  $x_{n_0} \neq x_{n_0+1}$  and  $x_{n_0+1} = Tx_{n_0} = Tz, z \neq Tz$ . Let  $\varepsilon = \frac{d(z, Tz)}{2} > 0$ . By (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &> d(x_{n_0+1}, z) \\ &= d(Tx_{n_0}, z) = d(Tz, z) = 2\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\Omega$  is finite, there exists  $n_0$  such that  $d(x_n, z) > 0$

for all  $n > n_0$ . Since  $T$  is a  $R_{\tilde{n}}$ -contraction mapping,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \tilde{n}(2d(Tx_n, Tz), d(x_n, Tz) + d(z, Tx_n)) \\ &\leq d(x_n, Tz) + d(z, Tx_n) - 2d(Tx_n, Tz). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 2d(x_{n+1}, Tz) &= 2d(Tx_n, Tz) \\ &\leq d(x_n, Tz) + d(z, x_{n+1}) \\ &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + d(x_{n+1}, Tz) + d(z, x_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

And, so

$$d(x_{n+1}, Tz) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(z, x_{n+1}).$$

Taking limit  $n$  to infinity,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n+1}, Tz) = 0$ . That is  $x_{n+1} \rightarrow Tz$ . By the uniqueness of the limit in a  $b$ -metric space and  $x_{n+1} \rightarrow z$ , we get that  $Tz = z$ . Finally, let us show that  $z$  is unique fixed point of  $T$ . Assume  $x = Tx$  and  $y = Ty$  such that  $x \neq y$ . Let  $a_n = d(x, y) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{n}(2a_{n+1}, a_n + 2a_{n+1} + a_{n+2}) \\ &= \tilde{n}(2d(x, y), d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

By using  $(\tilde{n}_1)$ , we get  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ , which imply that  $d(x, y) = 0$ , which is a contradiction. So  $x = y$ . □

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be  $R_{\tilde{n}}$ -Kannan mapping with respect to  $\tilde{n} \in R^*$ , i.e.,

$\tilde{n}(2d(Tx, Ty), \max\{d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}) > 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . If  $\tilde{n}(t, s) \leq s - t$  for all  $s, t \in (0, \infty)$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be a arbitrary point. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be Picard sequence of  $T$  based on  $x_0$ , that is,  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . If there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_{n_0+1} = x_{n_0}$ , then

$Tx_{n_0} = x_{n_0}$  which implies that  $x_{n_0}$  is a fixed point. Assume  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\{a_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  be a sequence defined by  $a_n = d(x_n, x_{n+1}) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By  $R_{\tilde{n}}$ -Kannan contractive condition,  $(\tilde{n}_1)$  and  $(\tilde{n}_3)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{n}(2a_{n+1}, a_n + 2a_{n+1} + a_{n+2}) \\ & \geq \tilde{n}(2a_{n+1}, \max\{a_n + a_{n+1}, a_{n+1} + a_{n+2}\}) \\ & = \tilde{n}(2d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ & \quad + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\ & \quad + d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3})\}) \\ & \geq \tilde{n}(2d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+2}), \\ & \quad d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+3})\}) \\ & = \tilde{n}(2d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}), \max\{d(x_n, Tx_{n+1}), \\ & \quad d(x_{n+1}, Tx_n)\}) \\ & > 0. \end{aligned}$$

By using the condition  $(\tilde{n}_1)$ , we get that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0.$$

Next, we show that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence reasoning by contradiction. If  $\{x_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence, then there exists an  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that

$$d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) > \varepsilon_0 \text{ and } d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_{k-1}}) \leq \varepsilon_0 \tag{2.7}$$

for all  $m_k > n_k \geq k$ . We consider, for any  $m_k > n_k \geq k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_0 & < d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \\ & \leq (d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_{k-1}}) + d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_k})) \\ & \leq \varepsilon_0 + d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit superior form  $k$  to infinity, we have

$$\varepsilon_0 \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \leq \varepsilon_0.$$

So,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) = \varepsilon_0. \tag{2.8}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_0 & < d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \\ & \leq d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_{k-1}}) + d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}) \\ & \leq \varepsilon_0 + d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}), \end{aligned}$$

taking limit superior from  $k$  to infinity,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_{k-1}}) = \varepsilon_0. \tag{2.9}$$

Since  $d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}) \leq d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{n_k}) + d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k})$ , taking limit superior from  $k$  to infinity,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}) \leq \varepsilon_0. \tag{2.10}$$

By  $R_{\tilde{n}}$ -Kannan contractive condition,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & < \tilde{n}(2d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}), \\ & \quad \max\{d(x_{n_{k-1}}, Tx_{m_{k-1}}), d(x_{m_{k-1}}, Tx_{n_{k-1}})\}) \\ & < \tilde{n}(2d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}), \\ & \quad \max\{d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}), d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{n_k})\}) \\ & \leq [\max\{d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}), d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{n_k})\}] \\ & \quad - 2d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}). \end{aligned}$$

So, we have, for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\varepsilon_0 & < 2d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \\ & \leq \max\{d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}), d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{n_k})\} \\ & \leq \max\{d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}), \varepsilon_0\} \\ & \leq d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}) + \varepsilon_0. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.9)-(2.10), we get that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}) = 2\varepsilon_0.$$

And, so

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \\ & = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}) + d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_{k-1}})) \\ & = \varepsilon_0. \end{aligned}$$

By using condition  $(\tilde{n}_2)$   $\varepsilon_0 = 0$ . That is a contradiction. Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $(X, d)$  is complete, there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow z$ . By definition of convergence sequence,

$$\text{for any } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there exists } N, \quad (2.11)$$

such that  $d(x_n, z) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n > N$ .

Next, we will show that  $z$  is fixed point. Let  $\Omega := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : d(x_n, z) = 0\}$ . Assume that  $\Omega$  is not finite, then we can find  $n_0 > N$  such that  $d(x_{n_0}, z) = 0$  i.e.  $x_{n_0} = z$ . Since  $x_{n_0} \neq x_{n_0+1}$  and  $x_{n_0+1} = Tx_{n_0} = Tz, z \neq Tz$ . Let  $\varepsilon = \frac{d(z, Tz)}{2} > 0$ . By (2.11), we have

$$\varepsilon > d(x_{n_0+1}, z) = d(Tx_{n_0}, z) = d(Tz, z) = 2\varepsilon,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\Omega$  is finite, there exists  $n_0$  such that  $d(x_n, z) > 0$  for all  $n > n_0$ . Since  $T$  is a  $R_{\tilde{n}}$ -kannan mapping,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \tilde{n}(2d(Tx_n, Tz), \\ &\max\{d(x_n, Tz), d(z, Tx_n)\}) \\ &\leq \max\{d(x_n, Tz), d(z, Tx_n)\} \\ &\quad - 2d(Tx_n, Tz) \\ &\leq d(x_n, Tz) + d(z, Tx_n) - 2d(Tx_n, Tz). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 2d(Tx_n, Tz) &\leq d(x_n, Tz) + d(z, x_{n+1}) \\ &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tz) + d(z, x_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

And, so

$$d(Tx_n, Tz) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(z, x_{n+1}).$$

Taking limit  $n$  to infinity,  $\{x_{n+1} = Tx_n\} \rightarrow Tz$ . By the uniqueness of the limit,  $Tz = z$ . Finally, we show that  $z$  is unique fixed point of  $T$ . Assume  $x = Tx$  and  $y = Ty$  such that  $x \neq y$ . Let  $a_n = d(x, y) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We consider

$$0 < \varrho(2d(Tx, Ty),$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\max\{d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}) \\ &< \max\{d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\} - 2kd(x, y) \\ &< d(x, y) - 2d(x, y) \\ &= -d(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. So  $x = y$ . □

### 3. Conclusion

The purpose of this paper is to extend and improve some results concerning of  $R'$ -max-Kannan and  $R''$ -Kannan mappings to  $R_{\tilde{n}}$ -contraction and  $R_{\tilde{n}}$ -Kannan mappings. Second, we establish new mapping, that is a  $R_{\tilde{n}}$ -contraction and  $R_{\tilde{n}}$ -Kannan mappings. More than that, we prove the results of fixed point for such mappings in metric spaces as follows:

1.) Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be  $R_{\tilde{n}}$ -contraction mapping with respect to  $\tilde{n} \in R^*$ , i.e.

$$\tilde{n}(2d(Tx, Ty), d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)) > 0$$

for all  $x \in X$ . If  $\tilde{n}(t, s) \leq s - t$  for all  $s, t \in (0, \infty)$  then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

2.) Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be  $R_{\tilde{n}}$ -Kannan mapping with respect to  $\tilde{n} \in R^*$ , i.e.,

$$\tilde{n}(2d(Tx, Ty), \max\{d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}) > 0$$

for all  $x \in X$ . If  $\tilde{n}(t, s) \leq s - t$  for all  $s, t \in (0, \infty)$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

### 4. Discussion

Future research directions may also be possible.

Open problems 1:

If  $T$  satisfies

$$\tilde{n}(5d(Tx, Ty), \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}) > 0,$$

then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

Open problems 2:

If  $T$  satisfies

$\tilde{n}(5d(Tx, Ty), \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}) > 0$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Science and Applied Science center, Kamphaeng Phet Rajabhat University, which provides funding for research.

### References

- [1] Kannan, R. On certain set and fixed-point theorems. *Roum. Math. pure appl.* 1969; 14 : 51-54.
- [2] Kannan, R. Some results on fixed points-II. *Amer. Math. Monthly.* 1969; 76: 405-408.
- [3] Bianchini, R.M.T. Su un problema di S. Reich aguardante la teoria dei punti fissi. *Boll. Un.Mat. Ital.* 1969; 5 : 103-108.
- [4] Khojasteh, F., Shukla, S. and Radenović, S. A new approach to the study of fixed point theorems via simulation functions. *Filomat.* 2015; 96 : 1189-1194
- [5] Roldan-López-de-Hierro, A. F. and Shahzad, N. New fixed point theorem under  $R$ -contractions, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications.* Springer. 2015; 98:DOI 10.1186/s13663-015-0345-y
- [6] Mongkolkeha, C., Cho, Y.J. and Kumam, P. Fixed point theorems for simulation functions in  $b$ -metric spaces via the  $wt$ -distance, *Applied General Topology, Universitat Politècnica de València.* 2017; 18 : 91-105.
- [7] Wiriyapongsanon, A. and Phudolsitthiphath, N. Fixed Point Theorems for Generalized  $R$ -Contraction in  $b$ -Metric Spaces. *Thai Journal of Mathematics.* 2018 ; Special Issue (ACFPTO2018): 277-287.
- [8] Cholatis, S., Chatsuda, C. and Patchara, M. Fixed point theorems for  $R''$ -Kanan mapping in  $b$ -metric spaces. *The 24th Annual Meeting in Mathematics (AMM2019).* 2019; Book of Proceedings: 79-89.