

Risk Rate Models and Using Queuing Analysis to Reduce Waiting Time for The Hospital Service. CaseStudy: Samutprakran Hospital

Krieng Kitbumrungrat
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
DhonburiRajabat University, Bangkok 10600, Thailand
E-mail: Kriengstat@yahoo.com (Corresponding Author)

Abstract

The growing population has led to increase in waiting time and overcrowding in the hospital service. A Risk Rate model has been developed to analyze the time series of count data. In finding a Risk Rate model, parameters are estimated and goodness-of-fit is utilized to carefully extract the best model to fit the count data. The marginal effect is the basis function which can be used in the Risk Rate model. This study attempted to analyze actual operations of a hospital and proposed modifications in the system to reduce waiting times for the patients, which should lead to an improved view of the quality of service provided. To develop a Risk Rate Models for the above situation, we need to define a model for the expected number of patients for hospital services cases. Here, two underlying variables are of interest, "waiting time" and "hospital services". Since "waiting time" has been categorized seven groups. The variable "hospital services" which contains four categorizes (No welfare (NW), Reimbursement to employer (RE), Social Security Service (SS) and 30 baht for welfare health service (30W)). As a result, significant levels of causal variables are not expected to be identical for each model. We find that 30 baht for welfare health service (30W) category has a higher rate of increase in the average waiting time. The marginal effect is a basis function that can be used in the Risk Rate analysis for. It allows into arrive at better predictions of hospital service and rehabilitation decision making.

Keywords: hospital service, Risk Rate model, Log-linear model

1Introduction

In the categorical data analysis literatures (Heien, 2004), the survival models and/or the risk rate models are treated differently than standard logit models. In general, these models are termed as Rate Models or Risk Rate Models. In its simplest form, a rate is defined as the number of individuals or observations possessing a particular characteristic divided by the total amount of exposure to the risk of having such a characteristic. The Risk Rate Models can easily be connected to the standard Poisson Models (Daniel and Xie, 2000). Then the Poisson Models are directly related to the Exponential Models by making conversion of rates per unit interval with the waiting time until the first occurrence. Here we use a Risk Rate Model to determine the likelihood of the demand for hospital services in a queueing system is to identify factors associated with increased health care utilization; particularly those factors related to hospital services. This is a difficult task for several reasons.

From queueing theory standpoint, a welfare hospital department can be viewed as a system of queues and different types of servers. A quantitative analysis of the wait time problem in welfare hospital department is dependent upon the identification of a methodology which recognizes the structure of the problem as that of a queueing system. Two modes of analysis are generally suggested by the structure of this type of problem: queueing models and discrete event simulations.

The Admitting department consists of four major areas: Front desk, Registration desk, Waiting area, and Financial Consulting area (within Business Department). When patient enters the Admitting department, they are asked by front-desk clerk to provide name and reason for visit. Admitting clerk determines patient's type (No welfare (NW), Reimbursement to employer (RE), Social Security Service (SS) and the 30 baht for welfare health service (30W)) and creates new account using Hospital Informational System. Admitting serves most outpatient and inpatient types, with an exception for: No welfare (NW), Reimbursement to

employer (RE), Social Security Service (SS) and the 30 baht for welfare health service (30W) It is essential to assess the relationship between hospital services and average waiting time in a queuing system. The clerk also clarifies if patient was pre-registered for this service or not. If the answer is yes, the clerk gets patient's documentation ready for the admission representative. Then the patient receives an assigned number and is asked to wait in admitting waiting area for admitting representative to call the number. Admitting representative determines if the patient ever receives the service at the hospital and if so, pull up patient's data from Meditech and verifies patient's personal information. If the patient is visiting the hospital for the first time, admitting clerk creates patient's profile in the Hospital Information Database system.

Law and Kelton (2001) proposed an algorithm of a successful computer simulation study. This algorithm includes the following key steps: 1. Problem formulation, 2. Data collection and the conceptual model design, 3. The validation of the model, 4. The constructions of the computer representation of the model, 5. The verification of the model, 6. The design of experiments needed to address the problem, 7. Production runs using the computer model, 8. The statistical analysis of the data obtained from the production runs, and 9. The interpretation of the results.

First, even in the case of constant demand levels over the day, statistical fluctuations in individual patient waiting times and the variability in the time needed by a provider to service patients can create long delays even when overall average steady state capacity is greater than average demand. Second, the magnitude of delays is a log-linear function of the demand for hospital services level, and are thus impossible to predict without the use of a queueing model. (Green and Nguyen 2001).

2 Methodology of Risk Rate Models

2.1 Risk Rate Models analysis

Let Y_i be the number of patients of an event of interest for the i^{th} subject and denote the independent variables by $x_i, i = 1, \dots, n$. We assume that Y_i follows a Poisson distribution, $Y_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_i)$, with density

$$f(y_i | x_i) = \frac{\lambda_i^{y_i} e^{-\lambda_i}}{y_i!} \quad (1)$$

Let $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ be the waiting times of the n^{th} individual, and assume the distribution function to be $F(t) = \Pr(T \leq t)$ with probability density function $f(t)$. The risk rate is denoted by, and can be viewed the instantaneous probability of an event in the interval $[t, t + \Delta t]$, given the event has not occurred before time t . formally, the risk rate (Charles and McFadden 1981), is defined by the following limit:

$$\mu(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \Pr[t \leq T < t + \Delta t | T \geq t] \quad (2)$$

The density of an exponential distribution with parameter μ is given by

$$f(t) = \mu e^{-\mu t}, t > 0. \quad (3)$$

The distribution function equal

$$F(t) = 1 - \mu e^{-\mu t}, t \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

For this distribution, we have

$$E(x) = \frac{1}{\mu}, \quad \sigma^2(x) = \frac{1}{\mu^2}, \quad (5)$$

The probability of an event not occurring up to time t is given by the function

$$P(t) = \Pr[T > t] = 1 - F(t) = e^{-\mu t} \quad (6)$$

Assuming the waiting times are exponentially distributed, the equation (6) may be written as:

$$P(t) = e^{(-\mu t)} \quad (7)$$

The risk rate is defined by the ratio.

$$\mu(t_i) = \frac{f(t)}{P(t)} = \frac{f(t)}{1 - F(t)} = \frac{\mu e^{-\mu t}}{e^{-\mu t}} = \mu \quad (8)$$

The general risk rate model may be written as:

$$\mu(x_1^T, \beta_1) = e^{(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_n x_n)} \quad (9)$$

where $x^T = [1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$, and $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ are unknown constants as the rate is determined by several regressors. This exponential risk rate model can be estimated using a Risk Rate Models for counts. In a time interval of length t , the probability of y events is given by:

$$Pr(y | \mu, t) = \frac{(\mu t)^y e^{-(\mu t)}}{y!} \quad (10)$$

Because the mean number of events in the time interval t is $\lambda = \mu t$, for the i th individual, the expected number of events in the time interval t_i is

$$\lambda_i = \mu t_i, \quad (11)$$

$$\text{or } \lambda_i = t_i e^{(x_i^T \beta_i)} \quad (12)$$

Taking the log of the Poisson means results in the log-linear regression model:

$$\frac{\lambda_i}{t_i} = e^{(x_i^T \beta_i)} \quad (13)$$

$$\text{or } \log\left(\frac{\lambda_i}{t_i}\right) = x_i^T \beta_i \quad (14)$$

$$\text{Namely, } \log(\lambda_i) - \log(t_i) = x_i^T \beta_i, \quad (15)$$

2.2. Goodness-of-Fit

The log-likelihood function of the process cannot be the only index of fit because the likelihood-ratio-statistics is dependent on the size of the sample. Different values of the log-likelihood function result when competing models, namely models that differ in the number of parameters, are fitted to the same data. The number of parameters, in general, should be more than one, and significantly less than the number of observations. To assess the model goodness-of-fit, we need to know how one model fits relative to another. An indicator of a model goodness-of-fit that measures the extent to which the current model deviates from a more generalized model is given by the likelihood-ratio-statistics:

$$G^2 = -2 \log \left(\frac{L_c}{L_f} \right) = -2(\log L_c - \log L_f), \quad (16)$$

where $\log L_c$ is the log-likelihood of the current model, and $\log L_f$ is the log-likelihood of the more generalized model. The likelihood ratio statistics has a Chi-Square distribution with $K_2 - K_1$ degrees of freedom, where K_2 and K_1 denote the number of parameters in the more generalized model and the current model, respectively (McCullagh and Nelder 1989).

2.3. Marginal Effects

For Risk Rate Models, the marginal effects can be thought of as the relative risk associated with a certain variable. The overall mean effect in (12) is

$$\lambda(x^T \beta) = e^{(x^T \beta)} \quad (17)$$

Then, the marginal effect due to the k^{th} factor can be considered as

$$\hat{\theta}_k = \bar{x}_k e^{(\bar{x}^T \hat{\beta})} \quad (18)$$

where $\bar{x}_k$ is the mean of the k^{th} factor values in the sample and $\bar{x}^T$ is the vector of the means of the factor values in the sample. An estimate of θ_k can be computed as

$$\theta_k = e^{(\beta_k)} \quad (19)$$

3 Estimation results and interpretation

This result is graphically depicted in Fig. 1, is the M/M/1 and the M/M/s queue, which shows the probability of n outpatients arriving in the system (P_n) slowly decreases as the number n outpatients arriving in the system (P_n) increases and similarly Poisson distribution. Suppose we observe a non-homogeneous Poisson process $X(t)$ with rate $\lambda(t)$ by Poisson regression analysis. Another categorical class of log-linear models that are commonly considered is that in which $\log(\lambda(t))$ is assumed to be a polynomial with unknown coefficients.

The M/M/1 queue and The M/M/s queue of Probability of n outpatients arriving in system




Fig 1. We find that the probability of n outpatients arriving in the system slowly decreases as the number n outpatients arriving in the system increases and similarly Poisson distribution.

The data set in Fig.1 above was used to estimate the probability of n outpatients arriving in the system and number of n outpatients arriving in the system. The M/M/1 queue of probability of n outpatients arriving in the system (Pn) have Reimbursement to employer (RE), the 30 baht for welfare health service (30W) , Social Security Service (SS) and No welfare (NW) respectively. The M/M/1 queue of probability of n outpatients arriving in the system (Pn) slowly decreases as the number n of outpatients arriving in the system increases, similar to the Poisson distribution The M/M/s queue of probability of n outpatients arriving in the system (Pn) have Reimbursement to employer (RE), the 30 baht for welfare health service (30W), Social Security Service (SS) and No welfare (NW) respectively. The M/M/s queue of probability of n outpatients arriving in the system (Pn) slowly decreases as the number n of outpatients arriving in the system increases, similar to the Poisson distribution

The following data was obtained quantitative data from a survey by the Samutprakran Hospital in Thailand for the year 2015. The Admitting Department is one of the most highly congested hospital services, and faces a great deal of pressure, compared with other components of the health care system. Admitting clerk determines patient's type (No welfare (NW), Reimbursement to employer (RE), Social Security Service (SS) and the 30 baht for welfare health service (30W)) and creates new account using Hospital Informational System. Admitting serves most outpatient and inpatient types, with an exception for: No welfare (NW), Reimbursement to employer (RE), Social Security Service (SS) and the 30 baht for welfare health service (30W) It is essential to assess the relationship between hospital services and average waiting time in a queuing system. Using SAS to perform the iterations necessary for the maximum likelihood method, the following results have been obtained

Table 1 Contingency Table of Outpatients

Average waiting time	Hospital Services				Total
	NW (h ₁)	RE (h ₂)	SSS (h ₃)	30W (h ₄)	
1-20 (t ₁)			1	3	4
21-40 (t ₂)		6	7	33	46
41-60 (t ₃)	10	12	9	31	62
61-80 (t ₄)		8		1	9
81-100 (t ₅)	33	7	5		45
101-120 (t ₆)				30	30
> 120 (t ₇)	4				4
Total	47	33	22	98	200

To develop a Risk Rate Models model for the above situation, we need to define a model for the expected number of patients for welfare cases, E (Y_{ij}) in terms of the variables of interest. Here, two underlying variables are of interest, "waiting time" and "welfare". Since "waiting time" has been categorized into seven groups, we will use six dummy variables to index them. The variable "welfare" which contains four categorizes, requires only three dummy variables. Thus, one possible model for the expected number of patient for welfare cases in the (i,j)th group can be written as:

$$E(Y_{ij}) = \mu_{ij} = \eta_{ij} \lambda_{ij}, \text{ where } \log \lambda_{ij} = \alpha + \beta E, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Using this model, we can write the risks λ_{ij} in terms of the parameters α and β to obtain

$$\log \lambda_{i0} = \alpha + \alpha_i \text{ and } \log \lambda_{i1} = \alpha + \alpha_i + \beta_i$$

since $\log \lambda_{i1} - \log \lambda_{i0} = (\alpha + \alpha_i + \beta - \alpha - \alpha_i)$

$$\log \lambda_{i1} - \log \lambda_{i0} = \beta, \text{ so } \theta_k = e^{(\beta)}$$

4 Model Results

The data set in Table 1 above was used to estimate the average waiting time and hospital services for medical and health services on the Risk Rate analysis with hospital services variables characterized by the marginal effect ($\theta_k = e^{\beta_j}$). Two separate models were specified and estimated for each state since the various causal factors vary over time, as a result, significant level of causal variables are not expected to be identical for each model. The model is to compare the average waiting time (t) and hospital services (h). We also computed a chi-square test relation log-linear model and Risk Rate Models:

Saturated log-linear model:

$$\log \lambda = \mu + \mu_{ti} + \mu_{hj} + \mu_{tjh_j} \quad (20)$$

Risk Rate Models:

$$\log \lambda_{ij} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \beta_3 x_{ij} \quad i=1,2,\dots,n; j=1,2,\dots,m \quad (21)$$

where are dummy variables and the interaction variable is , and the variable x1 corresponds to the No welfare (NW) case, x2 corresponds to the Reimbursement to employer (RE) case, x3 corresponds to the Social Security Service (SS) case, x4 corresponds to the 30 baht for welfare health service (30W))case, x5 corresponds to the group with average waiting time 1-20 min, x6 corresponds to the group with average waiting time 21-40 min, x7 corresponds to the group with average waiting time 41-60 min, x8 corresponds to the group with average waiting time 61-80 min, x9 corresponds to the group of with average waiting time 81-100 min, x10 corresponds to the group with average waiting time 101-120 min, x11 corresponds to the group with average waiting time > 120 min, and x12= x1 x5 , x13= x2 x5 , x14= x3 x5 , ..., x39=x4 x11.

A saturated log-linear model rate defined. We use the deviations between the maximized log-likelihood from each model to perform a series of Chi-square tests in order to as certain which model gives the best fit.

So, the saturated log-linear model is

$$\log(\lambda) = \mu + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{ti} + \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_{hj} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_{tjh_j} \quad (22)$$

The main effects model of factors t and w is

$$\log(\lambda) = \mu + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{ti} + \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_{hj} \quad (23)$$

The main effects model of factor t is

$$\log(\lambda) = \mu + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{ti} \quad (24)$$

The main effects model of factor w is

$$\log(\lambda) = \mu + \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_{hj} \quad (25)$$

Risk Rate Models:

So, the saturated log-linear model is

$$\log(\lambda) = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{28} \beta_i x_i \quad (26)$$

The main effects model of factors t and w is

$$\log(\lambda) = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{11} \beta_i x_i \quad (27)$$

The main effects model of factor t is

$$\log(\lambda) = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^7 \beta_i x_i \quad (28)$$

The main effects model of factor w is

$$\log(\lambda) = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^4 \beta_i x_i \quad (29)$$

The following results were obtained.

The saturated log-linear model yields

$$\log(\lambda) = -14.8949 + 16.2841h_1 - 0.6423h_2 + 1.1326h_3 + 16.1394t_1 + 18.406t_2 + 18.8536t_3 + 17.6167t_4 + 18.10t_5 + 18.2963t_6 - 32h_1t_1 - 16h_2t_1 - 0.0365h_3t_1 - 32h_1t_2 - 0.0718h_2t_2 - 0.2821h_3t_2 - 15.9804h_1t_3 - 0.5691h_2t_3 - 0.4642h_3t_3 - 32h_1t_4 - 16h_2t_4 - 16h_3t_4 - 16h_1t_5 + 32h_1t_6 - 16h_2t_6 - 16h_3t_6, \quad (30)$$

$$\log\text{-likelihood} = 383.3958, \text{ df} = 26$$

The main effects model of factors w and t yields

$$\log(\lambda) = 0.9728 + 0.04h_1 - 0.962h_2 - 1.559h_3 - 0.0957t_1 + 2.588t_2 + 2.9627t_3 + 1.6526t_4 + 2.5138t_5 + 2.4172t_6, \quad (31)$$

$$\log\text{-likelihood} = 375.2952, \text{ Chi-square} = 10.857, \text{ df} = 17$$

The main effects model of factor t yields

$$\log(\lambda) = 0.05539 - 0.1605t_1 + 2.481t_2 + 2.7188t_3 + 1.2t_4 + 12.4825t_5 + 2.8036t_6, \quad (32)$$

$$\log\text{-likelihood} = 341.413, \text{ Chi-square} = 80.413, \text{ df} = 20$$

The main effects model of factor h yields

$$\log(\lambda) = 3.2908 - 0.4153h_1 - 1.1057h_2 - 1.6614h_3, \quad (33)$$

$$\log\text{-likelihood} = 307.565, \text{ Chi-square} = 125.923, \text{ df} = 23$$

Goodness-of-Fit

Using these results, we tested the competing models using the likelihood-ratio statistics as described in section 2.2 in order to determine in goodness of fit. To perform the tests, we started by testing the saturated model in (30) against the main factors model in (31), and then tested the main factors model against its nested counterparts. The results of Chi-square tests, performed with $\alpha = 0.05$, are as follows:

Table 2. Test goodness-of-fit model of hospital services

Test	G^2	df
(31) vs (30)	$-2[(375.2952) - (383.3958)] = 16.2012$	9
(32) vs (31)	$-2[(341.4130) - (375.2952)] = 67.7644$	3
(33) vs (31)	$-2[(307.5654) - (375.2952)] = 135.4596$	3

The main factors model in (31) compared to saturated model (with all the interactions) in (30) has adequate fit model. The model in (31) has adequate fit compared to all models. The main factors of welfare model in (33) compared to all models in (31). The model in (33) has adequate fit compared to welfare model. Thus, we decided to choose the main factors model in (33) as the adequate model for this data set.

The main effects model;

$$\log(\lambda) = \beta_0 + \beta_1x_1 + \beta_2x_2 + \beta_3x_3 + \beta_4x_4$$

$$\log(\lambda) = 3.2908 - 0.4153w_1 - 1.1057w_2 - 1.6614w_3 - 0.00001w_4 \quad (34)$$

Table 3. Mean marginal effect of hospital services


Variable		Marginal effect
No welfare (NW),	(x_1)	$e^{0.4153} = 0.66014$
Reimbursement to employer (RE)	(x_2)	$e^{1.1057} = 0.33098$
Social Security Service (SS)	(x_3)	$e^{1.6614} = 0.18987$
The 30 baht for welfare health service (30W)	(x_4)	$e^{0.00001} = 0.99999$

Tables 2 and 3 shows that the parameter estimates of hospital services are significant at the 5% level. The results indicate into arrive at better predictions of health services. As for the hospital services class, the categories are No welfare (NW), Reimbursement to employer (RE), Social Security Service (SS) and the 30 baht for welfare health service (30W). The marginal effects are computed as described in Section

2.3. The marginal effect for the first factor, The No welfare (NW), group, is calculated as $\hat{\theta}_1 = \exp(\hat{\beta}_1) = 0.66014$. This means, the target population of the No welfare (NW) group has a 0.66014 times. The marginal effect for the second factor, The Reimbursement to employer (RE) group, is calculated as $\hat{\theta}_2 = \exp(\hat{\beta}_2) = 0.33098$. This means the target population of the Reimbursement to employer (RE) group has a 0.33098

times. The marginal effect for the third factor, The Social Security Service (SS) group, is calculated as $\hat{\theta}_3 = \exp(\hat{\beta}_3) = 0.18987$. This means the target population of Social Security Service (SS) group has a 0.18987 times. The marginal effect for the fourth factor, The 30 baht for welfare health service (30W) group, is calculated as $\hat{\theta}_4 = \exp(\hat{\beta}_4) = 0.99999$. This means the target population of the 30 baht for welfare health service (30W) group has a 0.99999 times.

This results in a monotonic increase in the waiting time rate function. This result is graphically depicted in Fig. 2, which shows a clear increase in the waiting time rate function for categorical welfare variables.



5 Conclusions

This paper has surveyed the use of queuing theory for the analysis of different types of waiting time and welfare hospital. Models for estimating waiting time and welfare hospital, models for system design, and models for evaluating appointment systems have been presented. The survey has reviewed models for departments (or units), facilities, and systems. We find that the 30 baht for welfare health service (30W)

category has a higher rate of increase in the average waiting time. The marginal effect ($\hat{\theta}_k$) is a basis function that can be used in the Risk Rate analysis for flexibility. In this paper, we have described an easily implemental estimation procedure for the coefficients of hospital services in the queueing system. The estimation approach is based on using a series of prediction probabilities. Furthermore, the methodology for determining the prediction probabilities from the waiting time model is developed. Finally, testing for statistical significance was carried out and the risk rate function was found to be increasing.

Waiting time in the welfare hospital can be reduced through implementation of quantitative methods, understanding of best practices, and commitment to change. For instance, queueing models of welfare hospital department activity have a broad range of potential applications. One of the most promising areas is the study of welfare hospital overcrowding. A critical capability afforded by patient flow simulation is the reconstruction of the factors that are responsible for overcrowding. This allows a more detailed understanding of the relationship between the observed conditions and related outcomes that could lead to informed optimization decisions.

As long as increasing the productivity of healthcare organizations remains important, analysts will seek to apply relevant models to improve the performance of healthcare processes. This paper shows that many models are available today. However, analysts will increasingly need to consider the ways in which distinct queueing systems within an organization interact.

References

- [1] Daniel A. and Xie, Yu (2000). *Statistical Methods for Categorical Data Analysis*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- [2] Green, L.V. and Nguyen, V. (2001). Strategies for cutting hospital beds: the impact on patient service. *Health Services*, 36:421-442.
- [3] Charles, M. and McFadden, D. (1981), *Structural Analysis of Discrete Data with Econometric Applications*, Editors Cambridge: The MIT Press, 1981
- [4] McCullagh, P. and J. A. Nelder (1989), *Generalized Linear Models*, 2nd edition. New York: Chapman and Hall.
- [5] Tuija Lindqvist. (2001). Quality Management in Social Welfare and Health care for; National Recommendation. Gabor Horvath; *Approximate Waiting Time Analysis of Priority Queues*, ISBN 951-33-0930-4.
- [6] Heien, H.C., Baumann, W.A., and Rahman, M. (2004). Inference in Log-Rate Models. *Journal of Undergraduate Research*. 2004; 4. Available from: www.mnsu.edu/research/URC/OnlinePublications/URC2003OnlinePublication/Heien_Baumann.doc.