

# Invertible Matrices over Idempotent Semirings

W. Mora, A. Wasanawichit  
and Y. Kemprasit\*

Received 28 Sep 2009

Accepted 1 Dec 2009

**Abstract:** By an *idempotent semiring* we mean a commutative semiring  $(S, +, \cdot)$  with zero 0 and identity 1 such that  $x + x = x = x^2$  for all  $x \in S$ . In 1963, D.E. Rutherford showed that a square matrix  $A$  over an idempotent semiring  $S$  of 2 elements is invertible over  $S$  if and only if  $A$  is a permutation matrix. By making use of C. Reutenauer and H. Straubing's theorems, we extend this result to an idempotent semiring as follows: A square matrix  $A$  over an idempotent semiring  $S$  is invertible over  $S$  if and only if the product of any two elements in the same column [row] is 0 and the sum of all elements in each row [column] is 1.

**Keywords:** Idempotent semiring, invertible matrix

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 16Y60, 15A09

## 1 Introduction

A *semiring* is a system  $(S, +, \cdot)$  such that  $(S, +)$  and  $(S, \cdot)$  are semigroups and  $x \cdot (y+z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$  and  $(y+z) \cdot x = y \cdot x + z \cdot x$  for all  $x, y, z \in S$ . A semiring  $(S, +, \cdot)$  is called *additively [multiplicatively] commutative* if  $x + y = y + x$  [ $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ ] for all  $x, y \in S$  and it is called *commutative* if it is both additively and multiplicatively commutative. An element  $0 \in S$  is called a *zero* of  $(S, +, \cdot)$  if  $x + 0 = 0 + x = x$

---

\* Corresponding author

and  $x \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot x = 0$  for all  $x \in S$ . By an *identity* of a semiring  $(S, +, \cdot)$  we mean an element  $1 \in S$  such that  $x \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot x = x$  for all  $x \in S$ . Notice that a zero and an identity of a semiring are unique.

By an idempotent semiring we mean a commutative semiring with zero 0 and identity 1 such that  $x + x = x = x^2$  for all  $x \in S$ .

**Example 1.1.** Let  $S \subseteq [0, 1]$  be such that  $0, 1 \in S$ . Define the operations  $\oplus$  and  $\odot$  on  $S$  by

$$x \oplus y = \max\{x, y\} \quad \text{and} \quad x \odot y = \min\{x, y\} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in S.$$

Then  $(S, \oplus, \odot)$  is an idempotent semiring having 0 and 1 as its zero and identity, respectively and it may be written as  $(S, \max, \min)$ .

**Example 1.2.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  the power set of  $X$ . Define

$$A \oplus B = A \cup B \quad \text{and} \quad A \odot B = A \cap B \quad \text{for all } A, B \in \mathcal{P}(X).$$

Then  $(\mathcal{P}(X), \oplus, \odot)$  is an idempotent semiring having  $\emptyset$  and  $X$  as its zero and identity, respectively and it may be written as  $(\mathcal{P}(X), \cup, \cap)$ .

Let  $S$  be a commutative semiring with zero 0 and identity 1,  $n$  a positive integer and  $M_n(S)$  the set of all  $n \times n$  matrices over  $S$ . Then under usual addition and multiplication,  $M_n(S)$  is an additively commutative semiring. The  $n \times n$  zero matrix  $0_n$  and the  $n \times n$  identity matrix  $I_n$  over  $S$  are the zero and the identity of the semiring  $M_n(S)$ , respectively. If  $S$  contains more than one element and  $n > 1$ , then  $M_n(S)$  is not multiplicatively commutative. For  $A \in M_n(S)$  and  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , let  $A_{ij}$  be the element (entry) of  $A$  in the  $i^{th}$  row and  $j^{th}$  column. The transpose of  $A \in M_n(S)$  will be denoted by  $A^t$ , that is,  $A_{ij}^t = A_{ji}$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then for  $A, B \in M_n(S)$ ,  $(A^t)^t = A$ ,  $(A + B)^t = A^t + B^t$  and  $(AB)^t = B^t A^t$ . A matrix  $A \in M_n(S)$  is said to be *invertible* over  $S$  if  $AB = BA = I_n$  for some  $B \in M_n(S)$ . Notice that such  $B$  is unique and  $B$  is called the *inverse* of  $A$ . Also,  $A$  is invertible over  $S$  if and only if  $A^t$  is invertible over  $S$ . A matrix  $A \in M_n(S)$  is called a *permutation matrix* if every element (entry) of  $A$  is either 0 or 1 and each row and each column contains exactly one 1. A permutation matrix  $A$  over  $S$  is clearly invertible over  $S$  and  $A^t$  is the inverse of  $A$ . In 1963, D.E. Rutherford characterized the invertible matrices in  $M_n(S)$  where  $S$  is an idempotent semiring of 2 elements as follows:

**Theorem 1.3.** [2] Let  $S$  be an idempotent semiring of 2 elements. Then a square matrix  $A$  over  $S$  is invertible over  $S$  if and only if  $A$  is a permutation matrix.

Let  $\mathcal{S}_n$  be the symmetric group of degree  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_n$  the alternating group of degree  $n$  and  $\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{S}_n \setminus \mathcal{A}_n$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_n &= \{ \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n \mid \sigma \text{ is an even permutation} \}, \\ \mathcal{B}_n &= \{ \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n \mid \sigma \text{ is an odd permutation} \}.\end{aligned}$$

If  $S$  is a commutative semiring with zero and identity and  $n$  is a positive integer greater than 1, then the *positive determinant* and the *negative determinant* of  $A \in M_n(S)$  are defined respectively by

$$\begin{aligned}\det^+ A &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n A_{i\sigma(i)} \right), \\ \det^- A &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n A_{i\sigma(i)} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $\det^+ I_n = 1$  and  $\det^- I_n = 0$ . In 1984, C. Reutenauer and H. Straubing [1] gave the following significant results.

**Theorem 1.4.** ([1]) Let  $S$  be a commutative semiring with zero and identity and  $n$  a positive integer  $\geq 2$ . If  $A, B \in M_n(S)$ , then there is an element  $r \in S$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\det^+(AB) &= (\det^+ A)(\det^+ B) + (\det^- A)(\det^- B) + r, \\ \det^-(AB) &= (\det^+ A)(\det^- B) + (\det^- A)(\det^+ B) + r.\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1.5.** ([1]) Let  $S$  be a commutative semiring with zero and identity and  $n$  a positive integer. For  $A, B \in M_n(S)$ , if  $AB = I_n$ , then  $BA = I_n$ .

The purpose of this paper is to extend Theorem 1.3 to an idempotent semiring by making use of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5. We show that an  $n \times n$  matrix over an idempotent semiring  $S$  with zero 0 and identity 1 is invertible over  $S$  if and only if

- (i) the product of any two elements in the same column [row] is 0 and
- (ii) the sum of all elements in each row [column] is 1.

## 2 Invertible Matrices over Idempotent Semirings

The following series of lemmas is needed. The first one is evident.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $S$  be an idempotent semiring with zero  $0$  and identity  $1$ . Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *For  $x, y \in S$ ,  $x + y = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0 = y$ .*
- (ii) *For  $x, y \in S$ ,  $xy = 1 \Rightarrow x = 1 = y$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $S$  be an idempotent semiring and  $n$  a positive integer  $\geq 2$ . If  $A \in M_n(S)$  is invertible over  $S$ , then  $\det^+ A + \det^- A = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B \in M_n(S)$  be such that  $AB = BA = I_n$ . By Theorem 1.4, there exists an element  $r \in S$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\det^+(AB) &= (\det^+ A)(\det^+ B) + (\det^- A)(\det^- B) + r, \\ \det^-(AB) &= (\det^+ A)(\det^- B) + (\det^- A)(\det^+ B) + r.\end{aligned}$$

But  $\det^+(AB) = \det^+ I_n = 1$  and  $\det^-(AB) = \det^- I_n = 0$ , so we have that

$$\begin{aligned}1 &= (\det^+ A)(\det^+ B) + (\det^- A)(\det^- B) + r, \\ 0 &= (\det^+ A)(\det^- B) + (\det^- A)(\det^+ B) + r.\end{aligned}$$

The last equality and Lemma 2.1(i) yield the result that

$$(\det^+ A)(\det^- B) = (\det^- A)(\det^+ B) = r = 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}1 &= (\det^+ A)(\det^+ B) + (\det^- A)(\det^- B) \\ &= (\det^+ A)(\det^+ B) + (\det^- A)(\det^- B) + (\det^+ A)(\det^- B) + (\det^- A)(\det^+ B) \\ &= (\det^+ A + \det^- A)(\det^+ B + \det^- B).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1(ii), we have that  $\det^+ A + \det^- A = 1$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $S$  be an idempotent semiring with zero  $0$  and identity  $1$ ,  $n$  a positive integer and  $A \in M_n(S)$ . Then  $A$  is invertible over  $S$  if and only if*

- (i) *the product of any two elements in the same column is  $0$  and*
- (ii) *the sum of all elements in each row is  $1$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1(ii), the theorem is obviously true for  $n = 1$ .

Let  $n > 1$  and assume that  $A$  is invertible over  $S$ . Let  $B \in M_n(S)$  be such that  $AB = BA = I_n$ . Let  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  be distinct. Then

$$0 = (I_n)_{ij} = (AB)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ik}B_{kj}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1(i) that  $A_{ik}B_{kj} = 0$  for all  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . This proves that

$$A_{lk}B_{kt} = 0 \text{ for all } l, t, k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ such that } l \neq t. \quad (1)$$

Then for  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ik}A_{jk} &= (A_{ik}A_{jk})1 \\ &= (A_{ik}A_{jk})(BA)_{kk} \\ &= A_{ik}A_{jk} \left( \sum_{t=1}^n B_{kt}A_{tk} \right) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^n A_{ik}A_{jk}B_{kt}A_{tk} \\ &= A_{ik}A_{jk}B_{kj}A_{jk} + \sum_{\substack{t=1 \\ t \neq j}}^n A_{ik}A_{jk}B_{kt}A_{tk} \\ &= (A_{ik}B_{kj})A_{jk} + \sum_{\substack{t=1 \\ t \neq j}}^n A_{ik}(A_{jk}B_{kt})A_{tk} \\ &= 0 + 0 = 0 \quad \text{from (1).} \end{aligned}$$

Hence (i) is proved.

From Lemma 2.2, we have that  $\det^+ A + \det^- A = 1$ . By (i), we have that

$$A_{1k_1}A_{2k_2} \dots A_{nk_n} = 0 \text{ if } k_1, \dots, k_n \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ are not all distinct.} \quad (2)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n A_{1k} \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^n A_{2k} \right) \dots \left( \sum_{k=1}^n A_{nk} \right) &= \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \in \{1, \dots, n\}} A_{1k_1}A_{2k_2} \dots A_{nk_n} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} A_{1\sigma(1)}A_{2\sigma(2)} \dots A_{n\sigma(n)} \quad \text{from (2)} \\ &= \det^+ A + \det^- A = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Lemma 2.1(ii),  $\sum_{k=1}^n A_{1k} = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{2k} = \dots = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{nk} = 1$ . Therefore (ii) is proved.

Conversely, assume that (i) and (ii) hold. Claim that  $AA^t = I_n$ . If  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then from (ii),

$$(AA^t)_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ik}A_{ki}^t = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ik}A_{ik} = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ik} = 1.$$

Also, for distinct  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , from (i)

$$(AA^t)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ik}A_{kj}^t = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ik}A_{jk} = 0.$$

This shows that  $AA^t = I_n$ . Therefore by Theorem 1.5,  $A^tA = I_n$ . Hence  $A$  is invertible over  $S$ .  $\square$

Since  $A$  is invertible over  $S$  if and only if  $A^t$  is invertible over  $S$ , the following result is obtained directly from Theorem 2.3.

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $S$  be an idempotent semiring with zero 0 and identity 1,  $n$  a positive integer and  $A \in M_n(S)$ . Then  $A$  is invertible over  $S$  if and only if*

- (i) *the product of any two elements in the same row is 0 and*
- (ii) *the sum of all elements in each column is 1.*

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $(S, \max, \min)$  be the idempotent semiring defined as in Example 1.1,  $n$  a positive integer and  $A \in M_n(S)$ . Then  $A$  is invertible over  $S$  if and only if  $A$  is a permutation matrix.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $A$  is invertible over  $S$ . Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . If there are distinct  $l, t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $A_{il} \neq 0$  and  $A_{it} \neq 0$ , then  $A_{il} \odot A_{it} = \min\{A_{il}, A_{it}\} \neq 0$  which is contrary to Corollary 2.4. By Theorem 2.3,  $A_{i1} \oplus \dots \oplus A_{in} = 1$ . Then  $1 = \max\{A_{i1}, \dots, A_{in}\}$ , so  $A_{ik} = 1$  for some  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . This shows that every row of  $A$  contains only one nonzero element which is 1. We can show similarly by Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.4 that every column of  $A$  contains only one nonzero element which is 1. Hence  $A$  is a permutation matrix.

As mentioned previously, a permutation matrix is invertible.  $\square$

**Remark 2.6.** From the proof of Theorem 2.3, we have that if  $A \in M_n(S)$  is invertible over  $S$ , then the inverse of  $A$  is  $A^t$ . It can be seen that Theorem 1.3 is a special case of Corollary 2.5.

**Example 2.7.** Let  $(\mathcal{P}(X), \cup, \cap)$  be the idempotent semiring defined in Example 1.2. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be subsets of  $X$  such that  $X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_n$  and  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are pairwise disjoint. By Theorem 2.3,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & \cdots & X_{n-1} & X_n \\ X_n & X_1 & \cdots & X_{n-2} & X_{n-1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ X_3 & X_4 & \cdots & X_1 & X_2 \\ X_2 & X_3 & \cdots & X_n & X_1 \end{bmatrix} \in M_n((\mathcal{P}(X), \cup, \cap))$$

is invertible over  $(\mathcal{P}(X), \cup, \cap)$ . By Remark 2.6, the inverse of  $A$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_n & \cdots & X_3 & X_2 \\ X_2 & X_1 & \cdots & X_4 & X_3 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ X_{n-1} & X_{n-2} & \cdots & X_1 & X_n \\ X_n & X_{n-1} & \cdots & X_2 & X_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

## References

- [1] C. Reutenauer and H. Straubing, Inversion of matrices over a commutative semiring, *J. Algebra*, **88**(1984), 350–360.
- [2] D.E. Rutherford, Inverses of Boolean matrices, *Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc.*, **6**(1963), 49–53.

W. Mora  
 Department of Mathematics,  
 Faculty of Science,  
 Prince of Songkla University,  
 Songkhla 90110, Thailand  
 Email: [k\\_winita@hotmail.com](mailto:k_winita@hotmail.com)

A. Wasanawichit<sup>1</sup> and Y. Kemprasit<sup>2</sup>  
 Department of Mathematics,  
 Faculty of Science,  
 Chulalongkorn University,  
 Bangkok 10330, Thailand  
 Email: <sup>1</sup>[amorn.wa@chula.ac.th](mailto:amorn.wa@chula.ac.th) and  
<sup>2</sup>[yupaporn.k@chula.ac.th](mailto:yupaporn.k@chula.ac.th)