

# Congruence Pairs of Algebras Abstracting Double Kleene and Stone algebras

Leibo Wang

*Received 15 May 2013*

*Revised 26 June 2013*

*Accepted 3 August 2013*

**Abstract:** In this note, we extend the result of Beazer on congruence pairs of  $K_2$ -algebras to the class of double  $K_2$ -algebras. We show that any congruence  $\alpha$  on a double  $K_2$ -algebra can be represented by a congruence pair  $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle$ , where  $\theta_1$  is a Kleene congruence and  $\theta_2$  is a lattice one. As an application of this result, we give a sufficient condition for a double  $K_2$ -algebra is congruence permutable ( $n$ -permutable).

**Keywords:** double  $K_2$ -algebra, congruence pair, congruence permutable, congruence  $n$ -permutable

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 06D30, 06D15

## 1 Introduction

Lakser [9] and Katriňák [10] independently showed that any congruence on a distributive  $p$ -algebra can be represented by a congruence pair  $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle$ , where  $\theta_1$  is a (Boolean) congruence of the skeleton Boolean algebra  $B(L)$  of  $L$  and  $\theta_2$  is a (lattice) congruence of the dense filter  $D(L)$  of  $L$ . Subsequently, Katriňák [11] showed that a congruence on a  $p$ -algebra with a modular frame can be described in exactly the same way as in the distributive  $p$ -algebra and Beazer [2] gave a different

method to describe the congruence pairs of a distributive double  $p$ -algebra with non-empty core. In [3], Beazer characterized the congruence pairs for algebras abstracting Kleene and Stone algebras, that is the class of  $K_2$ -algebras which was introduced by Blyth and Varlet in [7]. The purpose of this note is to extend the result of Beazer on congruence pairs of  $K_2$ -algebras to the class of double  $K_2$ -algebras. We show that any congruence  $\alpha$  on a double  $K_2$ -algebra can be represented by a congruence pair  $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle$ , where  $\theta_1$  is a (Kleene) congruence and  $\theta_2$  is a lattice one. As an application of this result, we give a sufficient condition that a double  $K_2$ -algebra is congruence permutable ( $n$ -permutable).

## 2 Preliminaries

An *MS-algebra* is an algebra  $\langle L; \vee, \wedge, ^\circ, 0, 1 \rangle$  of type  $\langle 2, 2, 1, 0, 0 \rangle$  whose reduct  $\langle L; \vee, \wedge, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a bounded distributive lattice and such that, for any  $x, y \in L$ ,

$$x \leq x^{\circ\circ}, \quad (x \wedge y)^\circ = x^\circ \vee y^\circ, \quad 1^\circ = 0.$$

Clearly, the class **MS** of MS-algebras is a variety. The subvariety  $K_2$  of MS-algebra  $L$  is defined by

$$(*) \quad x \wedge x^\circ = x^\circ \wedge x^{\circ\circ} \text{ and } x \wedge x^\circ \leq y \vee y^\circ.$$

A *double MS-algebra* must be an algebra  $\langle L; \vee, \wedge, ^\circ, ^+, 0, 1 \rangle$  of type  $\langle 2, 2, 1, 1, 0, 0 \rangle$  such that  $(L; ^\circ)$  is an MS-algebra,  $(L; ^+)$  is a dual MS-algebra, and the unary operations are linked by the properties:

$$(\forall x \in L) \quad x^{\circ+} = x^{\circ\circ} \text{ and } x^{++} = x^{+^\circ}.$$

In this note, our aim is to describe the congruence pairs of the class of double  $K_2$ -algebras. A *congruence* on a double  $K_2$ -algebra  $(L; ^\circ, ^+)$  is a lattice congruence  $\theta$  such that

$$(x, y) \in \theta \Rightarrow (x^\circ, y^\circ) \in \theta \text{ and } (x^+, y^+) \in \theta$$

Through what follows, for a double  $K_2$ -algebra  $(L; ^\circ, ^+)$ , we shall denote by  $ConL$  the lattice of congruences of  $L$ . If  $S$  is a subalgebra of  $L$  and  $\alpha$  is a congruence on  $L$  then we denote by  $\alpha|_S$  a restriction of  $\alpha$  on  $S$ . The basic congruence  $\Phi$  on  $L$  given by

$$(x, y) \in \Phi \iff x^\circ = y^\circ \text{ and } x^+ = y^+$$

The *skeleton* of  $L$  is the Kleene algebra

$$S(L) = \{x \in L; x = x^{\circ\circ}\} = \{x \in L; x = x^{++}\}.$$

The *core* of  $L$  is the set

$$K(L) = \{x \vee x^\circ; x \in L\} \cap \{x \wedge x^+; x \in L\} = \{x \in L; x^\circ \leq x \leq x^+\}.$$

For the basic properties of MS-algebras and double MS-algebras we refer the reader to [5]-[8]. The notations and terminologies we shall use in this note are same as used in [5] and [8].

### 3 Congruence pairs

Let  $(L;^\circ,+)$  be a double  $K_2$ -algebra. We shall be concerned with the condition that  $K(L) = [k, l]$  is a bounded non-empty core. We now begin with the following:

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $(L;^\circ,+)$  be a double  $K_2$ -algebra and let  $K(L) = [k, l]$ . Then every  $x \in L$  is of the form*

$$(\dagger) \quad x = x^{++} \vee [x^{\circ\circ} \wedge (x \vee k) \wedge l].$$

*Proof.* We first show that for each  $x \in L$ ,  $x^{\circ\circ} \wedge k = x \wedge k$ . Since  $x \leq x^{\circ\circ}$ , we have  $x \wedge k \leq x^{\circ\circ} \wedge k$ . Suppose now, by way of obtaining the contradiction, that  $x \wedge k < x^{\circ\circ} \wedge k$ . Here we must have  $k \neq x \wedge x^\circ$ ; for otherwise, it follows the contradiction that  $x \wedge x^\circ = x \wedge k < x^{\circ\circ} \wedge k = x \wedge x^\circ$ . Let  $a = x^{\circ\circ} \wedge k$ ,  $b = x^\circ \wedge k$  and  $c = x \wedge k$ . Since, by [2, Theorem 1], that  $L^\vee = \{x \vee x^\circ \mid x \in L\}$  is a filter, it follows that  $k = \inf L^\vee$  and so  $k \leq x \vee x^\circ$ . Hence we have  $x \wedge x^\circ < k \leq x \vee x^\circ$ . Then by (\*) we obtain

$$a \wedge b = x^{\circ\circ} \wedge x^\circ \wedge k = x \wedge x^\circ \wedge k = x \wedge x^\circ = b \wedge c$$

and

$$a \vee b = (x^{\circ\circ} \vee x^\circ) \wedge k = k = (x^\circ \vee x) \wedge k = (x^\circ \wedge k) \vee (x \wedge k) = b \vee c.$$

Here we must have  $k \neq b$ ; for otherwise, it follows the contradiction that

$$a = x^{\circ\circ} \wedge k = x^{\circ\circ} \wedge b = x^{\circ\circ} \wedge x^\circ \wedge k = x \wedge x^\circ \wedge k = x \wedge b = x \wedge k = c.$$

Therefore,  $\{x \wedge x^\circ, c, a, b, k\}$  is a five-element non-modular sublattice of  $L$ , which contradicts to the distributivity of  $L$ . Consequently, we obtain that

$$x = x \vee (x \wedge k) = x \vee (x^{\circ\circ} \wedge k) = x^{\circ\circ} \wedge (x \vee k).$$

Similarly, we can show that  $x = x^{++} \vee (x \wedge l)$ . Thus we obtain

$$x = x^{++} \vee [x^{\circ\circ} \wedge (x \vee k) \wedge l].$$

□

Given a double  $K_2$ -algebra  $L$  with  $K(L) = [k, l]$ . Every member of  $L$  contains two simpler substructures, one being a Kleene algebra  $S(L)$  and the other being a distributive sublattice  $K(L)$ . For any  $\alpha \in \text{Con}L$ , define the pair

$$\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle \in \text{Con}(S(L)) \times \text{Con}(K(L))$$

where  $\theta_1$  is the restriction  $\alpha|_{S(L)}$  of  $\alpha$  to  $S(L)$  and  $\theta_2$  is the restriction  $\alpha|_{K(L)}$  of  $\alpha$  to  $K(L)$ , where  $\text{Con}(S(L))$  and  $\text{Con}(K(L))$  are the congruence lattice of  $S(L)$  and  $K(L)$  respectively.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $(L;^\circ,^+)$  be a double  $K_2$ -algebra and let  $K(L) = [k, l]$ . We say that the pair  $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle \in \text{Con}(S(L)) \times \text{Con}(K(L))$  is a *double  $K_2$ -congruence pair* if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} (CP1) : & \quad c \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} d \Rightarrow c^\circ \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} d^\circ \quad \text{and} \quad c^+ \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} d^+; \\ (CP2) : & \quad a \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} b \Rightarrow (a \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} (b \vee k) \wedge l. \end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we shall denote by  $\text{Con}_p(L)$  the set of all double  $K_2$ -congruence pairs of a double  $K_2$ -algebra  $L$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $(L;^\circ,^+)$  be a double  $K_2$ -algebra and let  $K(L) = [k, l]$ . Then  $\text{Con}_p(L)$  is a sublattice of  $\text{Con}(S(L)) \times \text{Con}(K(L))$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle, \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle \in \text{Con}_p(L)$ . Clearly,  $\langle \theta_1 \wedge \varphi_1, \theta_2 \wedge \varphi_2 \rangle \in \text{Con}_p(L)$ . To see that  $\langle \theta_1 \vee \varphi_1, \theta_2 \vee \varphi_2 \rangle \in \text{Con}_p(L)$ , let  $a \stackrel{\theta_1 \vee \varphi_1}{\equiv} b$  and  $c \stackrel{\theta_2 \vee \varphi_2}{\equiv} d$ . Then there exist

$$a = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m = b \text{ in } S(L)$$

and

$$c = y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n = d \text{ in } K(L)$$

such that

$$a = x_0 \equiv x_1 \equiv x_2 \equiv \cdots \equiv x_m = b$$

and

$$c = y_0 \equiv y_1 \equiv y_2 \equiv \cdots \equiv y_n = d$$

where  $x_i \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} x_{i+1}$  or  $x_i \stackrel{\varphi_1}{\equiv} x_{i+1}$  and  $y_j \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} y_{j+1}$  or  $y_j \stackrel{\varphi_2}{\equiv} y_{j+1}$ . By properties (CP1) and (CP2), we see that  $y_j^\circ \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} y_{j+1}^\circ$ ,  $y_j^+ \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} y_{j+1}^+$  or  $y_j^\circ \stackrel{\varphi_1}{\equiv} y_{j+1}^\circ$ ,  $y_j^+ \stackrel{\varphi_1}{\equiv} y_{j+1}^+$  and  $(x_i \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} (x_{i+1} \vee k) \wedge l$  or  $(x_i \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\varphi_2}{\equiv} (x_{i+1} \vee k) \wedge l$ . Thus,  $c^\circ \stackrel{\theta_1 \vee \varphi_1}{\equiv} d^\circ$ ,  $c^+ \stackrel{\theta_1 \vee \varphi_1}{\equiv} d^+$  and  $(a \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\theta_2 \vee \varphi_2}{\equiv} (b \vee k) \wedge l$ . Consequently,  $\langle \theta_1 \vee \varphi_1, \theta_2 \vee \varphi_2 \rangle \in \text{Con}_p(L)$  and we obtain that  $\text{Con}_p(L)$  is a sublattice of  $\text{Con}(S(L)) \times \text{Con}(K(L))$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Every congruence  $\alpha$  on a double  $K_2$ -algebra  $(L;^\circ,^+)$  with  $K(L) = [k, l]$  determines a double  $K_2$ -congruence pair. Conversely, every double  $K_2$ -congruence pair  $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle \in \text{Con}(S(L)) \times \text{Con}(K(L))$  uniquely determines a congruence  $\alpha$  on  $L$  satisfying  $\alpha|_{S(L)} = \theta_1$  and  $\alpha|_{K(L)} = \theta_2$  such that the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $x \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} y$ ;
- (ii)  $x^\circ \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} y^\circ$ ,  $x^+ \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} y^+$  and  $(x \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} (y \vee k) \wedge l$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha$  be a relation on  $L$  defined by (i) and (ii). Clearly,  $\alpha$  is an equivalence relation. Let now  $a \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} b$  and  $c \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} d$ . Then

$$a^\circ \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} b^\circ, \quad a^+ \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} b^+, \quad (a \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} (b \vee k) \wedge l$$

and

$$c^\circ \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} d^\circ, \quad c^+ \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} d^+, \quad (c \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} (d \vee k) \wedge l.$$

By the distributivity of  $L$ , it is not hard to see that

$$(a \vee c)^\circ \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} (b \vee d)^\circ, \quad (a \vee c)^+ \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} (b \vee d)^+, \quad (a \vee c \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} (b \vee d \vee k) \wedge l$$

and

$$(a \wedge c)^\circ \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} (b \wedge d)^\circ, \quad (a \wedge c)^+ \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} (b \wedge d)^+, \quad ((a \wedge c) \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} ((b \wedge d) \vee k) \wedge l.$$

Thus  $(a \vee c, b \vee d) \in \alpha$  and  $(a \wedge c, b \wedge d) \in \alpha$ . Hence, we obtain that  $\alpha$  is a lattice congruence on  $L$ .

We now show that  $\alpha$  preserves the unary operations  $^\circ$  and  $^+$ . Let  $x \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} y$ . Then

$$x^\circ \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} y^\circ, \quad x^+ \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} y^+, \quad (x \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} (y \vee k) \wedge l.$$

Since  $a^{\circ\circ} = a^{\circ+}$  and  $a^{++} = a^{+\circ}$  for any  $a \in L$ , and by property (CP2), we observe that

$$x^{\circ\circ} \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} y^{\circ\circ}, \quad x^{\circ+} \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} y^{\circ+}, \quad (x^\circ \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} (y^\circ \vee k) \wedge l$$

and

$$x^{+\circ} \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} y^{+\circ}, \quad x^{++} \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} y^{++}, \quad (x^+ \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} (y^+ \vee k) \wedge l.$$

Thus  $\alpha$  preserves the unary operations  $^\circ$  and  $^+$  and so  $\alpha$  is a congruence on  $L$ .

Next, we show that  $\alpha|_{S(L)} = \theta_1$  and  $\alpha|_{K(L)} = \theta_2$ . Let  $x, y \in S(L)$ . If  $x \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} y$ , then  $x^\circ \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} y^\circ$  and  $x^+ \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} y^+$ . By property (CP2), we obtain that  $(x \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} (y \vee k) \wedge l$  and so  $x \stackrel{\alpha|_{S(L)}}{\equiv} y$ . Then  $\theta_1 \leq \alpha|_{S(L)}$ . If  $x \stackrel{\alpha|_{S(L)}}{\equiv} y$  then  $x^\circ \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} y^\circ$  so that  $x = x^{\circ\circ} \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} y^{\circ\circ} = y$ . Thus  $\alpha|_{S(L)} \leq \theta_1$  and consequently,  $\alpha|_{S(L)} = \theta_1$ . Now, let  $x, y \in K(L)$ . If  $x \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} y$  then  $x^\circ \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} y^\circ$  and  $x^+ \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} y^+$  by property (CP1). Since  $K(L)$  is sublattice of  $L$ , we see that  $(x \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} (y \vee k) \wedge l$ . Hence  $x \stackrel{\alpha|_{K(L)}}{\equiv} y$  and whence  $\theta_2 \leq \alpha|_{K(L)}$ . If  $x \stackrel{\alpha|_{K(L)}}{\equiv} y$  then  $x = (x \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} (y \vee k) \wedge l = y$ . Thus  $\alpha|_{K(L)} \leq \theta_2$  and consequently,  $\alpha|_{K(L)} = \theta_2$ .

Suppose now, by the way of obtaining the uniqueness of the theorem, that there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Con}L$  such that  $\alpha|_{S(L)} = \beta|_{S(L)}$  and  $\alpha|_{K(L)} = \beta|_{K(L)}$ . Let  $x \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} y$ . Then  $x^{\circ\circ} \stackrel{\alpha|_{S(L)}}{\equiv} y^{\circ\circ}$ ,  $x^{++} \stackrel{\alpha|_{S(L)}}{\equiv} y^{++}$  imply  $x^{\circ\circ} \stackrel{\beta|_{S(L)}}{\equiv} y^{\circ\circ}$ ,  $x^{++} \stackrel{\beta|_{S(L)}}{\equiv} y^{++}$ , and  $(x \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\alpha|_{K(L)}}{\equiv} (y \vee k) \wedge l$  implies  $(x \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\beta|_{K(L)}}{\equiv} (y \vee k) \wedge l$ . By  $(\dagger)$ , we obtain that

$$x = x^{++} \vee [x^{\circ\circ} \wedge (x \vee k) \wedge l] \stackrel{\beta}{\equiv} y^{++} \vee [y^{\circ\circ} \wedge (y \vee k) \wedge l] = y.$$

Similarly, we can show that  $\beta \leq \alpha$ . Consequently, we have  $\alpha = \beta$ . □

The following result is an immediate consequence from Theorem 3.4.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $(L; ^\circ, ^+)$  be a double  $K_2$ -algebra and let  $K(L) = [k, l]$ . Then  $\text{Con}L$  is lattice isomorphic to  $\text{Con}_p(L)$  by the prescription  $\alpha \rightarrow \langle \alpha|_{S(L)}, \alpha|_{K(L)} \rangle$ .*

We recall from [2] that if  $(L; ^\circ, ^+)$  is double Stone algebra then  $S(L)$  is a boolean sublattice of  $L$  and  $K(L)$  is a  $\Phi$ -classes of  $L$ . In addition, a pair  $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle \in$

$Con(S(L)) \times Con(K(L))$  is called a *reduced congruence pair* of  $L$  if, for any  $x, y \in K(L)$ ,  $x \leq y$  and  $z \in S(L)$ , the following condition hold:

$$(\ddagger) \quad x \wedge z = y \wedge z \text{ and } z \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} 1 \Rightarrow x \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} y.$$

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $(L;^\circ,^+)$  be a double Stone algebra with  $K(L) = [k, l]$  and let  $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle \in Con(S(L)) \times Con(K(L))$ . Then  $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle \in Con_p(L)$  if and only if it is a reduced congruence pair.*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ .) Suppose that  $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle \in Con_p(L)$ . Let  $x \wedge z = y \wedge z$  and  $z \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} 1$ , where  $x, y \in K(L)$ ,  $x \leq y$  and  $z \in S(L)$ . Then  $(z \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} l$  by (CP2). Observe that

$$x \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} x \wedge (z \vee k) \wedge l = (x \wedge z) \vee k = (y \wedge z) \vee k \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} y \wedge (z \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} y.$$

Hence we see that  $x \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} y$ . Thus,  $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle$  is a reduced congruence pair.

( $\Leftarrow$ .) Suppose that  $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle$  is a reduced congruence pair. Since, for  $x \in K(L)$ ,  $x^\circ = 0$  and  $x^+ = 1$ , the property (CP1) holds. To see that  $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle \in Con_p(L)$ , let  $a \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} b$  for some  $a, b \in S(L)$ . Now, let  $c = (a \vee b^\circ) \wedge (b \vee a^\circ)$ . Then  $c \in S(L)$ ,  $a \wedge c = b \wedge c = a \wedge b$  and  $c \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} 1$ . Hence, by ( $\ddagger$ ) we have  $a \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} b$ , whence  $(a \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} (b \vee k) \wedge l$  and we conclude that (CP2) holds. Consequently,  $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle \in Con_p(L)$ .  $\square$

## 4 Applications

If  $A$  is an algebra and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  are congruences on  $A$  then  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are said to be *permutable* provided that, for any  $a, b, c \in A$  with  $(a, b) \in \alpha_1$  and  $(b, c) \in \alpha_2$ , there exists  $d \in A$  such that  $(a, d) \in \alpha_2$  and  $(d, c) \in \alpha_1$ , that is  $\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2 = \alpha_2 \circ \alpha_1$ , where  $\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2$  is their relational product. In what follows we shall denote by  $\alpha_1 \circ^n \alpha_2$  the compound relational product  $\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2 \circ \alpha_1 \dots$ , where  $n \geq 2$ . If  $\alpha_1 \circ^n \alpha_2 = \alpha_2 \circ^n \alpha_1$  then, we shall say that  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are *n-permutable*. An algebra  $A$  is said to be *congruence permutable* (*congruence n-permutable*) if every pair of congruences on it is permutable (*n-permutable*). For the purpose of characterizing the congruence permutability (*n-permutability*) of a double  $K_2$ -algebra, we shall make use of the following useful result.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $(L;^\circ, +)$  be a double  $K_2$ -algebra and  $K(L) = [k, l]$ . If  $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle, \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle \in \text{Con}(S(L)) \times \text{Con}(K(L))$  then

$$\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle \circ \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle = \theta_1 \circ \varphi_1 \vee \theta_2 \circ \varphi_2.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle, \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle \in \text{Con}(S(L)) \times \text{Con}(K(L))$ . Then by Corollary 3.5 there exist unique  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Con}L$  such that  $\alpha = \langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle$  and  $\beta = \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle$ . Clearly,  $\theta_1 \circ \varphi_1 \vee \theta_2 \circ \varphi_2 \leq \alpha \circ \beta$ .

To see the reverse inequality, we let  $x \stackrel{\alpha \circ \beta}{\equiv} y$ . Then there exists  $t \in L$  such that  $x \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} t$  and  $t \stackrel{\beta}{\equiv} y$ . Then  $x^{\circ\circ} \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} t^{\circ\circ}$ ,  $x^{++} \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} t^{++}$  and  $t^{\circ\circ} \stackrel{\beta}{\equiv} y^{\circ\circ}$ ,  $t^{++} \stackrel{\beta}{\equiv} y^{++}$ . Hence  $x^{\circ\circ} \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} t^{\circ\circ}$ ,  $x^{++} \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} t^{++}$  and  $t^{\circ\circ} \stackrel{\varphi_1}{\equiv} y^{\circ\circ}$ ,  $t^{++} \stackrel{\varphi_1}{\equiv} y^{++}$ , and so  $x^{\circ\circ} \stackrel{\theta_1 \circ \varphi_1}{\equiv} y^{\circ\circ}$  and  $x^{++} \stackrel{\theta_1 \circ \varphi_1}{\equiv} y^{++}$ . In addition, since  $x \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} t$  and  $t \stackrel{\beta}{\equiv} y$  imply  $(x \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} (t \vee k) \wedge l$  and  $(t \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\beta}{\equiv} (y \vee k) \wedge l$ . Thus, we have  $(x \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} (t \vee k) \wedge l$  and  $(t \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\varphi_2}{\equiv} (y \vee k) \wedge l$ , and whence  $(x \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\theta_2 \circ \varphi_2}{\equiv} (y \vee k) \wedge l$ . Since  $x^{\circ\circ} \stackrel{\theta_1 \circ \varphi_1}{\equiv} y^{\circ\circ}$ ,  $x^{++} \stackrel{\theta_1 \circ \varphi_1}{\equiv} y^{++}$  and  $(x \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\theta_2 \circ \varphi_2}{\equiv} (y \vee k) \wedge l$ , there exist  $a, b, c \in L$  such that  $x^{\circ\circ} \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} a$ ,  $a \stackrel{\varphi_1}{\equiv} y^{\circ\circ}$ ,  $x^{++} \stackrel{\theta_1}{\equiv} b$ ,  $b \stackrel{\varphi_1}{\equiv} y^{++}$  and  $(x \vee k) \wedge l \stackrel{\theta_2}{\equiv} c$ ,  $c \stackrel{\varphi_2}{\equiv} (y \vee k) \wedge l$ . By  $(\dagger)$ , it follows that

$$x = x^{++} \vee [x^{\circ\circ} \wedge (x \vee k) \wedge l] \equiv b \vee (a \wedge c)(\theta_1 \circ \varphi_1 \vee \theta_2 \circ \varphi_2);$$

$$y = y^{++} \vee [y^{\circ\circ} \wedge (y \vee k) \wedge l] \equiv b \vee (a \wedge c)(\theta_1 \circ \varphi_1 \vee \theta_2 \circ \varphi_2).$$

Therefore,  $x \equiv y(\theta_1 \circ \varphi_1 \vee \theta_2 \circ \varphi_2)$ . Thus, we have  $\alpha \circ \beta \leq \theta_1 \circ \varphi_1 \vee \theta_2 \circ \varphi_2$ .

Consequently, we have from the above observations that  $\alpha \circ \beta = \langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle \circ \langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle = \theta_1 \circ \varphi_1 \vee \theta_2 \circ \varphi_2$ . □

**Corollary 4.2.** Let  $(L;^\circ, +)$  be a double  $K_2$ -algebra and let  $K(L) = [k, l]$ . If  $S(L)$  and  $K(L)$  are congruence permutable (congruence  $n$ -permutable), then so  $L$ .

Since every boolean algebra is congruence permutable and congruence  $n$ -permutable, the following result is an immediate consequence from Corollary 4.2, [1, Lemma 3.2] and [2, Theorem 2.5].

**Corollary 4.3.** Let  $(L;^\circ, +)$  be a double Stone algebra with  $K(L) = [k, l]$ . Then  $L$  is congruence permutable (congruence  $n$ -permutable) if and only if  $K(L)$  is congruence permutable (congruence  $n$ -permutable).

**Acknowledgements:** The author would like to thank the referees for the valuable and very help comments on the presentation of this note.



## References

- [1] M. E. Adams and R. Beazer: Congruence properties of distributive double  $p$ -algebras, *Czech. Math. J.*, **41(2)**(1991), 216–231.
- [2] R. Beazer: Congruence pairs for distributive double  $p$ -algebras with non-empty core, *Houston Math. J.*, **6**(1980), 443–454.
- [3] R. Beazer: Congruence pairs for algebras abstracting Kleene and Stone algebras, *Czech. Math. J.*, **35(2)**(1985), 260–268.
- [4] R. Beazer: Distributive  $p$ -algebras and double  $p$ -algebras having  $n$ -permutable congruences, *Proc. Edin. Math. Soc.*, **35**(1992), 301–307.
- [5] T. S. Blyth and J. C. Varlet: *Ockham Algebras*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1994.
- [6] T. S. Blyth and J. C. Varlet: On a common abstraction of de Morgan and Stone algebras, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edin. Sect.*, **A 94A**(1983), 301–308.
- [7] T. S. Blyth and J. C. Varlet: Subvarieties of the class of MS-algebras, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edin. Sect.*, **A 95A**(1983), 157–169.
- [8] T. S. Blyth and J. C. Varlet: Double MS-algebras, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edin. Sect.*, **A 98A**(1984), 37–47.
- [9] H. Lakser: The structure of pseudocomplemented distributive lattice. I. Subdirect decomposition, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **156**(1971), 335–342.
- [10] T. Katriňák: Über eine Konstruktion der distributiven pseudokomplementaren Verbände, *Math. Nachr.*, **53**(1972), 85–99.
- [11] T. Katriňák: Congruence pairs on  $p$ -algebras with a modular frame, *ALgebra Univ.*, **8**(1978), 205–220.

Leibo Wang  
 College of Mathematics and Software Science,  
 Sichuan Normal University,  
 Chengdu, 610066, P. R. China  
 Email: leibowang@hotmail.com