

# On Banach Algebras Induced by a Certain Product

Ali Reza Khoddami

*Received 17 July 2014*

*Revised 12 November 2014*

*Accepted 19 November 2014*

**Abstract:** We obtain characterization of bounded approximate identities for Banach algebras induced by Lau product of Banach algebras defined by a Banach algebra homomorphism. Also we characterize the unitization and minimal idempotents of these algebras. Finally we study the ideal structure of these algebras. Also we extend a result of Sangani Monfared.

**Keywords:** bounded approximate identity,  $T$ –Lau product, minimal idempotent, unitization

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 46H05, 46J05

## 1 introduction

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be Banach algebras and let  $A$  be commutative. Suppose that  $T : B \rightarrow A$  is an algebra homomorphism with  $\|T\| \leq 1$ . Then the direct product  $A \times B$  equipped with the  $\ell^1$ –norm and the algebra multiplication

$$(a, b) \cdot (c, d) = (ac + T(d)a + T(b)c, bd), \quad (a, c \in A, b, d \in B),$$

is an associative Banach algebra which is called the  $T$ –Lau product of  $A$  and  $B$  and will be denoted by  $A \times_T B$ . Some properties of this algebra such as, Arens regularity, amenability, weak amenability, character inner amenability are investigated in [1].

$n$ -weak amenability and character amenability of  $A \times_T B$  are investigated in [3, 4]. For a Banach algebra  $B$  with  $\theta \in \Delta(B)$  (the spectrum of  $B$ ) and for an arbitrary unital Banach algebra  $A$  define  $T : B \rightarrow A$  as  $T(x) = \theta(x)e$  ( $x \in B$ ). Then the above product coincides with the product investigated by Sangani Monfared [5]. It is called the  $\theta$ -Lau product. Also the  $\theta$ -Lau product of  $A$  and  $B$  is denoted by  $A \times_\theta B$ . Character inner amenability of  $A \times_\theta B$  is investigated in [2].

For an arbitrary Banach algebra  $A$ , a left (right) bounded approximate identity is a bounded net  $(a_\alpha)_\alpha$  in  $A$  such that  $\|a_\alpha a - a\| \rightarrow 0$  ( $\|aa_\alpha - a\| \rightarrow 0$ ) ( $a \in A$ ). A bounded approximate identity is a bounded net that is left and right approximate identity.

Recall that a non-zero element  $\eta \in B$  is called a minimal idempotent if  $\eta^2 = \eta$  and  $\eta B \eta = \mathbb{C}\eta$ .

## 2 bounded approximate identities

In this section we characterize bounded approximate identities of  $A \times_T B$ . Also we obtain the unitization of  $A \times_T B$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative Banach algebra, let  $B$  be a Banach algebra, and let  $T : B \rightarrow A$  be an algebra homomorphism with  $\|T\| \leq 1$ . Then*

- i.  $A \times_T B$  is commutative if and only if  $B$  is commutative.
- ii.  $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$  is an identity for  $A \times_T B$  if and only if  $\tilde{b}$  is an identity for  $B$  and  $\tilde{a} + T(\tilde{b})$  is an identity for  $A$ .
- iii.  $((a_\alpha, b_\alpha))_\alpha$  is a bounded left (right, or two-sided) approximate identity for  $A \times_T B$  if and only if  $(a_\alpha + T(b_\alpha))_\alpha$  is a bounded approximate identity for  $A$  and  $(b_\alpha)_\alpha$  is a bounded left (right, or two-sided) approximate identity for  $B$ . A similar statement is true for unbounded approximate identities.

*Proof.* i) is clear. For ii) let  $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$  be an identity for  $A \times_T B$ . So for each  $(a, b) \in A \times_T B$ ;

$$(a, b)(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) = (\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})(a, b) = (a, b).$$

It follows that

$$b\tilde{b} = \tilde{b}b = b; \tag{1}$$

$$a(\tilde{a} + T(\tilde{b})) + T(b)\tilde{a} = a. \tag{2}$$

Upon substituting  $b = 0$  in (2), we obtain  $a(\tilde{a} + T(\tilde{b})) = a$ . This implies that  $\tilde{a} + T(\tilde{b})$  is an identity for  $A$ .

Conversely, let  $\tilde{b}$  be an identity for  $B$  and let  $\tilde{a} + T(\tilde{b})$  be an identity for  $A$ . Since  $\tilde{b}\tilde{b} = b$  so  $T(b)T(\tilde{b}) = T(b)$ . It follows that  $T(b)\tilde{a} = T(b)(\tilde{a} + T(\tilde{b})) - T(b)T(\tilde{b}) = T(b) - T(b) = 0$ , ( $b \in B$ ). Hence

$$(a, b)(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) = (a(\tilde{a} + T(\tilde{b})) + T(b)\tilde{a}, b\tilde{b}) = (a, b).$$

Similarly  $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})(a, b) = (a, b)$ . So  $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$  is an identity for  $A \times_T B$ .

iii) We only prove the left version. Let  $((a_\alpha, b_\alpha))_\alpha$  be a bounded left approximate identity for  $A \times_T B$ . So for each  $(a, b) \in A \times_T B$ ,

$$\|(a_\alpha, b_\alpha)(a, b) - (a, b)\| = \|((a_\alpha + T(b_\alpha))a + T(b)a_\alpha - a, b_\alpha b - b)\| \longrightarrow 0.$$

It follows that

$$\|(a_\alpha + T(b_\alpha))a + T(b)a_\alpha - a\| \longrightarrow 0; \quad (3)$$

$$\|b_\alpha b - b\| \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4)$$

(4) implies that  $(b_\alpha)_\alpha$  is a bounded left approximate identity for  $B$ . Also upon substituting  $b = 0$  in (3) we conclude that  $(a_\alpha + T(b_\alpha))_\alpha$  is a bounded approximate identity for  $A$ .

Conversely, let  $(b_\alpha)_\alpha$  be a bounded left approximate identity for  $B$  and let  $(a_\alpha + T(b_\alpha))_\alpha$  be a bounded approximate identities for  $A$ . So for each  $b \in B$ ,  $T(b_\alpha)T(b) \longrightarrow T(b)$  and also  $(a_\alpha + T(b_\alpha))T(b) \longrightarrow T(b)$ . It follows that

$$T(b)a_\alpha = (a_\alpha + T(b_\alpha))T(b) - T(b_\alpha)T(b) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (5)$$

For each  $(a, b) \in A \times_T B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(a_\alpha, b_\alpha)(a, b) - (a, b)\| &= \|((a_\alpha + T(b_\alpha))a + T(b)a_\alpha - a, b_\alpha b - b)\| \\ &= \|(a_\alpha + T(b_\alpha))a + T(b)a_\alpha - a\| + \|b_\alpha b - b\| \\ &\leq \|(a_\alpha + T(b_\alpha))a - a\| + \|T(b)a_\alpha\| + \|b_\alpha b - b\| \\ &\longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(a_\alpha, b_\alpha)_\alpha$  is a left bounded approximate identity.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative Banach algebra, let  $B$  be a Banach algebra, and let  $T : B \rightarrow A$  be an algebra homomorphism with  $\|T\| \leq 1$ . Then*

$(A \times_T B)^\# \cong A \times_{\tilde{T}} B^\#$  (isometrically isomorphism), where  $\tilde{T} : B^\# \rightarrow A^\#$  is the algebra homomorphism defined by  $\tilde{T}((b, \lambda)) = (T(b), \lambda)$ ,  $(b \in B, \lambda \in \mathbb{C})$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\varphi : (A \times_T B)^\# \rightarrow A \times_{\tilde{T}} B^\#$  by  $\varphi(((a, b), \lambda)) = (a, (b, \lambda))$ . Clearly  $\varphi$  is a bijective bounded linear map. we shall show that  $\varphi$  is an algebra isomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(((a, b), \lambda)((c, d), \mu)) \\ &= \varphi(((ac + T(b)c + T(d)a, bd) + (\lambda c, \lambda d) + (\mu a, \mu b), \lambda\mu)) \\ &= \varphi(((ac + T(b)c + T(d)a + \lambda c + \mu a, bd + \lambda d + \mu b), \lambda\mu)) \\ &= (ac + T(b)c + T(d)a + \lambda c + \mu a, (bd + \mu b + \lambda d, \lambda\mu)) \\ &= (a, (b, \lambda))(c, (d, \mu)) = \varphi(((a, b), \lambda))\varphi(((c, d), \mu)). \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(((a, b), \lambda))\| &= \|(a, (b, \lambda))\| \\ &= \|a\| + \|(b, \lambda)\| = \|a\| + \|b\| + |\lambda| = \|(a, b)\| + |\lambda| \\ &= \|((a, b), \lambda)\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

### 3 minimal idempotents

In this section we characterize minimal idempotents of  $A \times_T B$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *An element  $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$  is a minimal idempotent of  $A \times_T B$  if and only if one of the following statements are hold:*

- i.  $\tilde{b} = 0$  and  $\tilde{a}$  is a minimal idempotent of  $A$ .
- ii.  $\tilde{a}^2 = -\tilde{a}$ ,  $\tilde{b}$  is a minimal idempotent of  $B$ , and  $(\tilde{a} + T(\tilde{b}))a = 0$ ,  $(a \in A)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$  be a minimal idempotent. So the condition of  $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})^2 = (\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$  implies that

$$\tilde{b}^2 = \tilde{b}, \tag{6}$$

$$\tilde{a}^2 + 2T(\tilde{b})\tilde{a} = \tilde{a}. \tag{7}$$

For each  $(a, b) \in A \times_T B$  there exists  $\mu_{a,b} \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})(a, b)(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) = \mu_{a,b}(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}),$$

equivalently, the fact that  $A$  is commutative and also the equality  $\tilde{b}^2 = \tilde{b}$  imply that

$$\tilde{a}^2 a + 2T(\tilde{b})a\tilde{a} + 2T(\tilde{b})T(b)\tilde{a} + T(b)\tilde{a}^2 + T(\tilde{b})a = \mu_{a,b}\tilde{a}, \quad (8)$$

$$\tilde{b}\tilde{b} = \mu_{a,b}\tilde{b}. \quad (9)$$

The comparison of (9) and (6) shows that either  $\tilde{b} = 0$ , or  $\tilde{b}$  is a minimal idempotent of  $B$  with  $\mu_{a,b} = \mu_{0,b}$  for all  $a \in A$ .

The assumption  $\tilde{b} = 0$  accompanied with (7) imply  $\tilde{a}^2 = \tilde{a}$  and (8) implies that  $\tilde{a}^2 a + T(b)\tilde{a}^2 = \mu_{a,b}\tilde{a}$ ,  $(a, b) \in A \times_T B$ .

Upon substituting  $b = 0$ , we conclude that  $\tilde{a}a\tilde{a} = \tilde{a}^2 a = \mu_{a,0}\tilde{a}$ ,  $(a \in A)$ . It follows that  $\tilde{a}$  is a minimal idempotent that provides statement *i*).

If we assume  $\tilde{b} \neq 0$  is a minimal idempotent, and  $\mu_{a,b} = \mu_{0,b}$  for all  $a \in A$ , as  $\mu_{a,0} = 0$  for all  $a \in A$ , upon substituting  $b = 0$  in (8) we conclude that  $\tilde{a}^2 a + 2T(\tilde{b})a\tilde{a} + T(\tilde{b})a = 0$ . It follows that

$$0 = (\tilde{a}^2 + 2T(\tilde{b})\tilde{a})a + T(\tilde{b})a = \tilde{a}a + T(\tilde{b})a = (\tilde{a} + T(\tilde{b}))a, \quad (a \in A).$$

So  $T(\tilde{b})\tilde{a} = -\tilde{a}^2$ . Applying (7) we obtain that  $\tilde{a}^2 = -\tilde{a}$ , providing *ii*). Conversely, Let  $\tilde{a}$  be a minimal idempotent of  $A$ . So  $\tilde{a}^2 = \tilde{a}$  and  $\tilde{a}a\tilde{a} = \mu_a\tilde{a}$  for all  $a \in A$ . We shall show that  $(\tilde{a}, 0)$  is a minimal idempotent of  $A \times_T B$ . Clearly  $(\tilde{a}, 0)^2 = (\tilde{a}, 0)$ . For each  $(a, b) \in A \times_T B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{a}, 0)(a, b)(\tilde{a}, 0) &= (\tilde{a}a + T(b)\tilde{a}, 0)(\tilde{a}, 0) \\ &= (\tilde{a}a\tilde{a} + T(b)\tilde{a}^2, 0) = (\tilde{a}a\tilde{a}, 0) + (\tilde{a}T(b)\tilde{a}, 0) \\ &= (\mu_a\tilde{a}, 0) + (\mu_{T(b)}\tilde{a}, 0) = (\mu_a + \mu_{T(b)})(\tilde{a}, 0). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $(\tilde{a}, 0)$  is a minimal idempotent of  $A \times_T B$ .

Similarly let  $\tilde{a} \in A$ ,  $\tilde{b} \in B$  and let the conditions of *ii*) hold. we shall show that  $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$  is a minimal idempotent of  $A \times_T B$ . As  $\tilde{b}$  is a minimal idempotent so  $\tilde{b}^2 = \tilde{b}$  and for each  $b \in B$  there exists  $\mu_b \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\tilde{b}b\tilde{b} = \mu_b\tilde{b}$ .

Since by hypothesis for each  $a \in A$ ,  $(\tilde{a} + T(\tilde{b}))a = 0$ , we have  $T(\tilde{b})\tilde{a} = -\tilde{a}^2 = \tilde{a}$ . So

$$(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})^2 = (\tilde{a}^2 + 2T(\tilde{b})\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}^2) = (\tilde{a}^2 - 2\tilde{a}^2, \tilde{b}^2) = (\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}).$$

Since  $(\tilde{a} + T(\tilde{b}))T(b)\tilde{a} = 0$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} -T(b)\tilde{a} &= -T(\tilde{b})T(b)\tilde{a} \\ &= -T(\tilde{b}\tilde{b})\tilde{a} \\ &= -\mu_b T(\tilde{b})\tilde{a} = -\mu_b(-\tilde{a}^2) \\ &= -\mu_b\tilde{a}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $T(b)\tilde{a} = \mu_b\tilde{a}$ . For each  $(a, b) \in A \times_T B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})(a, b)(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) &= (\tilde{a}a + T(\tilde{b})a + T(b)\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}\tilde{b})(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) \\ &= ((\tilde{a} + T(\tilde{b}))a + T(b)\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}\tilde{b})(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) \\ &= (T(b)\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}\tilde{b})(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) = (T(b)\tilde{a}^2 + 2T(b)T(\tilde{b})\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}\tilde{b}) \\ &= (-T(b)\tilde{a} + 2T(b)(-\tilde{a}^2), \mu_b\tilde{b}) = (-T(b)\tilde{a} + 2T(b)\tilde{a}, \mu_b\tilde{b}) \\ &= (T(b)\tilde{a}, \mu_b\tilde{b}) = (\mu_b\tilde{a}, \mu_b\tilde{b}) \\ &= \mu_b(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$  is a minimal idempotent.  $\square$

## 4 ideal structures of $A \times_T B$

In this section we characterize the ideal structures of  $A \times_T B$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative Banach algebra, let  $B$  be a Banach algebra, and let  $T : B \rightarrow A$  be an algebra homomorphism with  $\|T\| \leq 1$ . Suppose that  $I$  is an ideal of  $A$ ,  $J$  is a left (right or two-sided) ideal of  $B$ , and  $M = I \times J$ . Then*

*$M$  is a left (right or two-sided) ideal of  $A \times_T B$  if and only if  $T(J)A \subseteq I$ .*

*Proof.* We only prove the left-version. Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $A$  and let  $J$  be a left ideal of  $B$ , then  $M$  is a left ideal of  $A \times_T B$  if and only if  $(a, b)(x, y) \in M$  for all  $(a, b) \in A \times_T B$ ,  $(x, y) \in M$ . It follows that  $(ax + T(b)x + T(y)a, by) \in M$ , which is equivalent to  $T(y)a \in I, y \in J, a \in A$ . Equivalently,  $T(J)A \subseteq I$ .  $\square$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.1 we present a result of Sangani-Monfared [5, Proposition 2.6].

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $A$  be a unital Banach algebra and let  $B$  be a Banach algebra such that  $\theta \in \Delta(B)$ . Suppose that  $I$  is a left (right or two-sided) ideal of  $A$ ,  $J$  is a left (right or two-sided) ideal of  $B$ , and  $M = I \times J$ . Then  $M$  is a left (right or two-sided) ideal of  $A \times_{\theta} B$  if and only if  $J \subseteq \ker \theta$  or  $I = A$ .*

For the converse of Theorem 4.1 suppose that  $M$  is a left (right or two-sided) ideal of  $A \times_T B$  and let,

- i.  $I = \{a \in A : (a, b) \in M \text{ for some } b \in B\};$
- ii.  $J = \{b \in B : (a, b) \in M \text{ for some } a \in A\}.$

In general neither  $I$  is a left (right or two-sided) ideal, nor the equality  $M = I \times J$  holds [5, Examples 2.8]. In this situation we can present the following result.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $M, I$ , and  $J$  be as above. Then*

- i.  *$J$  is a left (right or two-sided) ideal of  $B$ ;*
- ii. *If  $T(J)A \subseteq I$  then  $I$  is an ideal of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* We only prove part ii) for the left version. Let  $M$  be a left ideal of  $A \times_T B$  and let  $\tilde{a} \in I$ . There exists  $\tilde{b} \in B$  such that  $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) \in M$ . It follows that  $\tilde{b} \in J$  and for each  $a \in A$ ,  $(a, 0)(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) \in M$ . This implies that  $a\tilde{a} + T(\tilde{b})a \in I$ . As  $T(\tilde{b})a \in I$  it follows that  $a\tilde{a} \in I$ . Since  $A$  is commutative so  $I$  is an ideal of  $A$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgements:** The author would like to thank the referee for careful reading of the paper and giving some useful suggestions.

## References

- [1] S.J. Bhatt and P.A. Dabhi, Arens regularity and amenability of Lau product of Banach algebras defined by a Banach algebra morphism, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, (2012), 1–12.
- [2] H.R. Ebrahimi Vishki and A.R. Khoddami, Character inner amenability of certain Banach algebras, *Colloq. Math.*, **122** (2011), 225–232.
- [3] A.R. Khoddami,  $n$ –weak amenability of  $T$ –Lau product of Banach algebras, *Chamchuri J. Math.*, **5** (2013), 57–65.

- [4] A.R. Khoddami, On character amenability of  $T$ -Lau product of Banach algebras, to appear.
- [5] M. Sangani-Monfared, On certain products of Banach algebras with applications to harmonic analysis, *Studia Math.*, **178** (2007), 277–294.

Ali Reza Khoddami  
Department of Pure Mathematics  
University of Shahrood  
P.O. BOX 3619995161-316, Shahrood, Iran.  
Email: `khoddami.alireza@shahroodut.ac.ir`