

Argument Estimates Of Certain Meromorphically Multivalent Functions Associated With The Multiplier Transformation

E.E. Ali*, R.M. El-Ashwah and M.K.Aouf

Received 16 February 2017

Revised 30 October 2018

Accepted 15 November 2018

Abstract: The object of this paper is to obtain some argument properties of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the multiplier transformation. We also derive the integral preserving properties in a sector.

Keywords: Multiplier transformations, meromorphic functions, subordination

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45

1 Introduction

Let $\Sigma_{p,n}$ ($n > -p$) denote the class of all meromorphic functions $f(z)$ of the form:

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the punctured unit disc $U^* = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\} = U \setminus \{0\}$. For convenience, we write $\Sigma_{p,-p+1} = \Sigma_p$.

If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic in U , we say that $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ written symbolically as follows:

* Corresponding author

$$f \prec g \text{ or } f(z) \prec g(z),$$

if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, which (by definition) is analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$), such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$ ($z \in U$). In particular, if the function $g(z)$ is univalent in U , then we have the following equivalent (cf., e.g., [5]; see also [10],[11, p. 4])

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(U) \subset g(U).$$

For functions $f_j(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$, given by

$$f_j(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^k \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (1.2)$$

we define the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} z^k = (f_2 * f_1)(z). \quad (1.3)$$

Now, we define the operator $I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)$ ($\lambda \geq 0, \ell > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) for a function $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ given by (1.1) as follows:

$$I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell) f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\lambda(k+p) + \ell}{\ell} \right]^m a_k z^k, \quad (1.4)$$

we can write (1.4) as follows:

$$I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell) f(z) = (\Phi_{n,\lambda,\ell}^{p,m} * f)(z),$$

where

$$\Phi_{n,\lambda,\ell}^{p,m}(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\lambda(k+p) + \ell}{\ell} \right]^m z^k. \quad (1.5)$$

It is easily verified from (1.4), that

$$\lambda z (I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell) f(z))' = \ell I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell) f(z) - (\lambda p + \ell) I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell) f(z) \quad (\lambda > 0). \quad (1.6)$$

The operator $I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)$ was introduced by El-Ashwah [9].

We note that:

$$I_p^0(n, \lambda, \ell) f(z) = f(z) \text{ and } I_p^1(n, 1, 1) f(z) = \frac{(z^{p+1} f(z))'}{z^p} = (p+1) f(z) + z f'(z).$$

Also by specifying the parameters λ, ℓ, m and p , we obtain the following operators studied by various authors:

- (i) $I_p^m(1, 1)f(z) = D_p^m f(z)$ (see Aouf and Hossen [1] and Srivastava and Patel [14]);
- (ii) $I_1^m(1, \ell)f(z) = I(m, \ell)f(z)$ (see Cho et al. [6, 7]);
- (iii) $I_1^m(1, 1) = I^m f(z)$ (see Uralegaddi and Somanatha [16]).

Making use of the principle of differential subordination as well as the linear operator $I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)$, we now introduce a subclass of the function class $\Sigma_{p,n}$ as follows:

Let $\Sigma_{p,n}^*[\lambda, \ell, m; A, B]$ be the class of functions $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ defined by

$$\Sigma_{p,n}^*[\ell, \lambda, m; A, B] = \left\{ f \in \Sigma_{p,n} : -\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z)} \prec_p \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \right. \\ \left. -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \lambda > 0, \ell > 0, p \in \mathbb{N}, n > -p, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, z \in U \right\}. \quad (1.7)$$

We note that:

- (i) For $m = 0$, we note that $\Sigma_{p,n}^*[\ell, \lambda, 0; 1, -1] = \Sigma_{p,n}^*$, is the well-known class of meromorphically starlike functions;
- (ii) For $m = 0$, $A = 1 - \frac{2\alpha}{p}$, $0 \leq \alpha < p$ and $B = -1$, we note that $\Sigma_{p,n}^*[\ell, \lambda, 0; 1 - \frac{2\alpha}{p}, -1] = \Sigma_{p,n}^*[\alpha]$, is the well-known class of meromorphically starlike functions of order α (see [2]).

From (1.7) and by using the result of Silverman and Silvia [15], we observe that a function $f(z)$ is in $\Sigma_{p,n}^*[\ell, \lambda, m; A, B]$ ($-1 < B < A \leq 1$, $\lambda > 0$, $\ell > 0$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$) if and only if

$$\left| \frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z)} + \frac{p(1 - AB)}{1 - B^2} \right| < \frac{p(A - B)}{1 - B^2} \quad (z \in U). \quad (1.8)$$

For a function $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ and $\nu > 0$, the integral operator $F_{\nu,p}(f)(z) : \Sigma_{p,n} \rightarrow \Sigma_{p,n}$ is defined by

$$F_{\nu,p}(f)(z) = \frac{\nu}{z^{\nu+p}} \int_0^z t^{\nu+p-1} f(t) dt \\ = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\nu}{\nu + p + k} \right) a_k z^k * f(z) \quad (\nu > 0; z \in U). \quad (1.9)$$

It follows from (1.9) that

$$z (I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell) F_{\nu,p}(f)(z))' = \nu I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell) f(z) - (\nu + p) I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell) F_{\nu,p}(f)(z). \quad (1.10)$$

The operator $F_{\nu,p}(f)(z)$ was investigated by many authors (see for example [1], [17] and [18]).

The object of the present paper is to give some argument properties of meromorphically functions belonging to $\Sigma_{p,n}$ and the integral preserving properties in connection with the operator $I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)$ defined by (1.4).

Many researches introduced and study the argument properties of meromorphically multivalent functions such as Aouf [3], cho et al. [6] and Qing Yang and Jin-Lin Liu [13].

2 Main Results

Unless otherwise mentioned, we shall assume in the reminder of this paper that $\lambda \geq 0, \ell > 0, p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In order to show our main results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 ([8]). *Let h be convex univalent in U with $h(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re} \{\beta h(z) + \gamma\} > 0$ ($\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$). If q is analytic in U with $q(0) = 1$, then*

$$q(z) + \frac{z q'(z)}{\beta q(z) + \gamma} \prec h(z)$$

implies

$$q(z) \prec h(z).$$

Lemma 2.2 ([10]). *Let h be convex univalent in U and $\lambda(z)$ be analytic in U with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda(z) \geq 0$. If q is analytic in U and $q(0) = h(0)$, then*

$$q(z) + \lambda(z) z q'(z) \prec h(z)$$

implies

$$q(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in U).$$

Lemma 2.3 ([12]). *Let q be analytic in U with $q(0) = 1$ and $q(z) \neq 0$ in U . Suppose that there exists a point z_0 in U such that*

$$|\arg q(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \quad \text{for } |z| < |z_0| \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$|\arg q(z_0)| < \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1). \quad (2.2)$$

Then we have

$$\frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{q(z_0)} = ik\alpha, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$k \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(a + \frac{1}{a}\right) \quad \text{when} \quad \arg q(z_0) = \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha, \quad (2.4)$$

$$k \geq \frac{-1}{2}\left(a + \frac{1}{a}\right) \quad \text{when} \quad \arg q(z_0) = \frac{-\pi}{2}\alpha, \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$q(z_0)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \pm i\alpha \quad (\alpha > 0). \quad (2.6)$$

At first, with the help of Lemma 2.1, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. Let h be convex univalent in U with $h(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{h\}$ be bounded in U . If $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ satisfies the condition

$$-\frac{z(I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{pI_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z)} \prec h(z),$$

then

$$-\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{pI_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z)} \prec h(z)$$

for $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re} h(z) < \left(\frac{\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p}{p}\right)$ (provided $I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z) \neq 0$ in U).

Proof. Let

$$q(z) = -\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{pI_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z)} \quad (z \in U).$$

By using (1.6), we have

$$q(z) - \left(\frac{\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p}{p}\right) = -\frac{\frac{\ell}{\lambda} I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z)}{pI_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z)}. \quad (2.7)$$

Differentiating (2.7) logarithmically with respect to z and multiplying by z , we get

$$\frac{zq'(z)}{-pq(z) + \left(\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p\right)} + q(z) = -\frac{z(I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{pI_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z)} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in U).$$

From Lemma 2.1, it follows that $q(z) \prec h(z)$ for $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ -h(z) + \left(\frac{\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p}{p} \right) \right\} > 0$ ($z \in U$), which means

$$-\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{pI_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z)} \prec h(z),$$

for $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re} h(z) < \left(\frac{\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p}{p} \right)$. \square

Using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 and Theorem 2.4, we now derive the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ and choose ℓ and λ such that $\frac{\ell}{\lambda} \geq \frac{p(A-B)}{1+B}$, where $-1 < B < A \leq 1$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$. If*

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z(I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta \quad (0 \leq \gamma < p; 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

for some $g \in \Sigma_{p,n}^*(\ell, \lambda, m+1; A, B)$, then

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha,$$

where $\alpha(0 < \alpha \leq 1)$ is the solution of

$$\delta = \alpha + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\alpha \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t(A, B))}{\frac{\frac{\ell}{\lambda}(1-B)+p(A-B)}{(1-B)} + \alpha \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t(A, B))} \right\}, \quad (2.8)$$

when

$$t(A, B) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^{-1} \left[\frac{p(A-B)}{\left(\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p \right) (1-B^2) - p(1-AB)} \right]. \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. Let

$$q(z) = -\frac{1}{p-\gamma} \left(\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z)} + \gamma \right) \quad (z \in U).$$

By using the identity (1.6), we have

$$(p-\gamma)zq'(z)I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z) + (p-\gamma)q(z)z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z))' + \gamma z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z))'$$

$$= \left(\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p \right) z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))' - \frac{\ell}{\lambda} z(I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))' .$$

Dividing (2.10) by $I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z)$ and simplifying, we obtain

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{-r(z) + \frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p} = -\frac{1}{p - \gamma} \left(\frac{z(I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z)} + \gamma \right) , \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$r(z) = -\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z))'}{I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z)} .$$

Since $g(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}^*(\ell, \lambda, m+1; A, B)$, from Theorem 1, we have

$$r(z) \prec p \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} ,$$

using (1.8), we have

$$-r(z) + \left(\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p \right) = \rho e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\varphi} ,$$

where

$$\frac{\frac{\ell}{\lambda}(1+B) - p(A-B)}{1+B} < \rho < \frac{\frac{\ell}{\lambda}(1-B) + p(A-B)}{1-B} ,$$

$$-t(A, B) < \varphi < t(A, B) ,$$

where $t(A, B)$ is given by (2.9).

Let h be a function which maps U onto the angular domain $\{w : |\arg w| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta\}$ with $h(0) = 1$. Applying Lemma 2.2 for this h with $\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{-r(z) + \frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p}$, we see that $\operatorname{Re} q(z) > 0$ in U and hence $q(z) \neq 0$ in U .

If there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that the conditions (2.1) and (2.2) are satisfied, then by Lemma 2.3, we have (2.3) under the restrictions (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6).

At first, suppose that $q(z_0)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = ia$ ($a > 0$). Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg \left[-\frac{1}{p-\gamma} \left(\frac{z_0(I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z_0))'}{I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z_0)} + \gamma \right) \right] \\ &= \arg \left[q(z_0) + \frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{-r(z_0) + \frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p} \right] \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha + \arg(1 + i\alpha k(\rho e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\varphi})^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha k \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1-\varphi)}{\rho + \alpha k \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1-\varphi)} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t(A, B))}{\frac{\frac{\ell}{\lambda}(1-B)+p(A-B)}{1-B} + \alpha \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t(A, B))} \right) = \frac{\pi}{2}\delta, \end{aligned}$$

where δ and $t(A, B)$ are given by (2.8) and (2.9), respectively. This is a contradiction to the assumption of our theorem.

Next, suppose that $p(z_0)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = -ia$ ($a > 0$). Applying the same method as the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg \left[-\frac{1}{p-\gamma} \left(\frac{z_0(I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z_0))'}{I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z_0)} + \gamma \right) \right] \\ &\leq -\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t(A, B))}{\frac{\frac{\ell}{\lambda}(1-B)+p(A-B)}{1-B} + \alpha \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t(A, B))} \right) \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{2}\delta, \end{aligned}$$

where δ and $t(A, B)$ are given by (2.8) and (2.9), respectively, which contradicts the assumption. Therefore we complete the proof of Theorem 2.5. \square

Taking $A = 1$, $B = 0$ and $\delta = 1$ in Theorem 2, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$. If*

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z)} \right\} > \gamma \quad (0 \leq \gamma < p)$$

for some $g \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ satisfying the condition

$$\left| \frac{z(I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z))'}{I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z)} + p \right| < p,$$

then

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z)} \right\} > \gamma \quad (0 \leq \gamma < p).$$

Taking $A = 1$, $B = 0$ and $g(z) = \frac{1}{z^p}$ in Theorem 2.5, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.7. *Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$. If*

$$\left| \arg \left[-z^{p+1}(I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))' - \gamma \right] \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta \quad (0 \leq \gamma < p; 0 < \delta \leq 1),$$

then

$$\left| \arg \left[-z^{p+1}(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))' - \gamma \right] \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta.$$

Taking $m = 0$ and $\delta = 1$ in Corollary 2, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$. If*

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ z^{p+1} \left[\frac{\lambda}{\ell} z f''(z) + \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{\ell} + \frac{\lambda}{\ell} p \right) f'(z) \right] \right\} > \gamma \quad (0 \leq \gamma < p),$$

then

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ z^{p+1} f'(z) \right\} > \gamma.$$

By the same techniques as in the proof of Theorem 2.5, we obtain

Theorem 2.9. *Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$. Choose λ and ℓ such that*

$$\frac{\lambda}{\ell} \geq \frac{p(A-B)}{1+B} \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left(\frac{z(I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z)} + \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta \quad (\gamma > p; 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

for some $g \in \Sigma_{p,n}^*[\ell, \lambda, m+1; A, B]$, then

$$\left| \arg \left(\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z)} + \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha,$$

where α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) is the solution of the equation given by (2.8).

Theorem 2.10. *Let h be convex univalent in U with $h(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re} h$ be bounded in U . Let $F_{\nu,p}(f)(z)$ be the integral operator defined by (1.9). If $f \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ satisfies the condition*

$$-\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{pI_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z)} \prec h(z),$$

then

$$-\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)F_{\nu,p}(f)(z))'}{pI_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)F_{\nu,p}(f)(z)} \prec h(z)$$

for $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re} h(z) < \frac{\nu+p}{p}$ (provided $I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)F_{\nu,p}(f)(z) \neq 0$ in U).

Proof. Let

$$q(z) = -\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)F_{\nu,p}(f)(z))'}{pI_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)F_{\nu,p}(f)(z)}.$$

Then, by using (1.10), we have

$$pq(z) - (\nu + p) = -\nu \frac{I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z)}{I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)F_{\nu,p}(f)(z)}. \quad (2.12)$$

Taking logarithmic derivatives in both sides of (2.12) and multiplying by z , we get

$$\frac{zq'(z)}{-pq(z) + (\nu + p)} + q(z) = -\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{pI_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z)} \prec h(z).$$

Therefore, by using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$-\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)F_{\nu,p}(f)(z))'}{pI_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)F_{\nu,p}(f)(z)} \prec h(z),$$

for $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re} h(z) < \frac{\nu+p}{p}$ (provided $I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)F_{\nu,p}(f)(z) \neq 0$ in U). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.10. \square

Theorem 2.11. *Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ and choose a positive number ν such that $\nu \geq \frac{1+A}{1+B} - p$, where $-1 < B < A \leq 1$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$. If*

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta \quad (0 \leq \gamma < p; 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

for some $g \in \Sigma_{p,n}^*[\ell, \lambda, m; A, B]$, then

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)F_{\nu,p}(f)(z))'}{I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)G_{\nu,p}(g)(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha ,$$

where $F_{\nu,p}(f)(z)$ is the integral operator given by (1.9),

$$G_{\nu,p}(g)(z) = \frac{\nu}{z^{\nu+p}} \int_0^z t^{\nu+p-1} g(t) dt \quad (\nu > 0) , \quad (2.13)$$

and α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) is the solution of the equation

$$\delta = \alpha + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\alpha \sin \frac{\pi}{2} (1 - t(A, B, \nu))}{\frac{(\nu+p)(1-B)+p(A-B)}{1-B} + \alpha \cos \frac{\pi}{2} (1 - t(A, B, \nu))} \right\} \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$t(A, B, \nu) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^{-1} \left[\frac{p(A-B)}{(\nu+p)(1-B^2) - p(1-AB)} \right] . \quad (2.15)$$

Proof. Let

$$q(z) = -\frac{1}{p-\gamma} \left(\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)F_{\nu,p}(f)(z))'}{I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)G_{\nu,p}(g)(z)} + \gamma \right) \quad (z \in U) .$$

Since $g \in \Sigma_{p,n}^*[\ell, \lambda, m; A, B]$, from Theorem 2.10, $G_{\nu,p}(g)(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}^*[\ell, \lambda, m; A, B]$. Using (1.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (p-\gamma)q(z)I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)G_{\nu,p}(g)(z) - (\nu+p)I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)F_{\nu,p}(f)(z) \\ = -\nu I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z) - \gamma I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)G_{\nu,p}(g)(z) . \end{aligned}$$

Then, by a simple calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (p-\gamma) \left\{ zq'(z) + q(z)[-r(z) + \nu + p] \right\} + \gamma[-r(z) + \nu + p] \\ = -\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)G_{\nu,p}(g)(z)} , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$r(z) = -\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)G_{\nu,p}(g)(z))'}{I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)G_{\nu,p}(g)(z)} .$$

Hence, we have

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{-r(z) + \nu + p} = -\frac{1}{p - \gamma} \left(\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z)} + \gamma \right) .$$

The remaining part of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.5 and so we omit it. \square

Taking $m = 0$, $A = 1$, $B = 0$ and $\delta = 1$ in Theorem 2.11, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.12. *Let $\nu > 0$ and $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$. If*

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > \gamma \quad (0 \leq \gamma < p)$$

for some $g \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ satisfying the condition

$$\left| \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + p \right| < p ,$$

then

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zF'_{\nu,p}(f)(z)}{G_{\nu,p}(g)(z)} \right\} > \gamma \quad (0 \leq \gamma < p) ,$$

where $F_{\nu,p}(f)(z)$ and $G_{\nu,p}(g)(z)$ are given by (1.9) and (2.13), respectively.

Taking $m = 0$, $B \rightarrow A$ and $g(z) = \frac{1}{z^p}$ in Theorem 2.11, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.13. *Let $\nu > 0$ and $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$. If*

$$\left| \arg(-z^{p+1}f'(z) - \gamma) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta \quad (0 \leq \gamma < p; 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

then

$$\left| \arg(-z^{p+1}F'_{\nu,p}(f)(z) - \gamma) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha ,$$

where $F_{\nu,p}(f)(z)$ is the integral operator given by (1.9) and $\alpha(0 < \alpha \leq 1)$ is the solution of the equation

$$\delta = \alpha + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\nu + p} \right) .$$

By using the same method as in proving Theorem 2.11, we have

Theorem 2.14. Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ and choose a positive number ν such that $\nu \geq \frac{1+A}{1+B} - p$ where $-1 < B < A \leq 1$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$. If

$$\left| \arg \left(\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z)} + \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta \quad (\gamma > p; 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

for some $g \in \Sigma_{p,n}^*[\ell, \lambda, m; A, B]$, then

$$\left| \arg \left(\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)F_{\nu,p}(f)(z))'}{I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)G_{\nu,p}(g)(z)} + \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha,$$

where $F_{\nu,p}(f)(z)$ and $G_{\nu,p}(g)(z)$ are given by (1.9) and (2.13), respectively, and α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) is the solution of the equation given by (2.14).

Finally, we derive the following theorem.

Theorem 2.15. Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$. Choose λ and ℓ such that $\frac{\ell}{\lambda} \geq \frac{p(A-B)}{1+B}$, where $-1 < B < A \leq 1$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$. If

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta \quad (0 \leq \gamma < p; 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

for some $g \in \Sigma_{p,n}^*[\ell, \lambda, m; A, B]$, then

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z(I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)F_{\nu,p}(f)(z))'}{I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)G_{\nu,p}(g)(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta,$$

where $F_{\nu,p}(f)(z)$ and $G_{\nu,p}(g)(z)$ are given by (1.9) and (2.13), respectively, with $\nu = \frac{\ell}{\lambda}$.

Proof. From (1.9) and (1.10) with $\nu = \frac{\ell}{\lambda}$, we have $I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z) = I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)F_{\nu,p}(f)(z)$. Therefore,

$$\frac{z(I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{I_p^m(n, \lambda, \ell)g(z)} = \frac{z(I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)F_{\nu,p}(f)(z))'}{I_p^{m+1}(n, \lambda, \ell)G_{\nu,p}(g)(z)}$$

and the result follows. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

The authors thanks the referees for their valuable suggestions to improve the paper.

References

- [1] M. K. Aouf, New criteria for multivalent meromorphic starlike function of order α , Proc. Japan Acad. Ser.A, 69(1993), no. 3, 66-70.
- [2] M. K. Aouf, Certain classes of meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients, Math. Comput. Modelling 47(2008), no. 3-4, 328-340.
- [3] M. K. Aouf "Argument estimates of certain meromorphically multivalent functions associated with generalized hypergeometric function" Appl. Math. Comput. 206(2008), no. 2, 772-780.
- [4] M. K. Aouf and H. M. Hossen, New criteria for meromorphic p -valent starlike functions, Tsukuba J. Math. 17(1993), 481-486.
- [5] T. Bulboacă, Differential Subordinations and Superordinations, Recent Results, House of Scientific Book Publ., Cluj-Napoca, 2005.
- [6] N. E. Cho, O. S. Kwon, and H. M. Srivastava, Inclusion and argument properties for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of multiplier transformations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 300(2004), 505-520.
- [7] N.E. Cho, O.S. Known, H.M. Srivastava, Inclusion relationships for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of multiplier transformations, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 16 (2005), no.18, 647-659.
- [8] P. Enigunburg, S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu and M. O. Reade, On a Briot-Bouquet differential subordination, General Inequalities 3(Birkhäuser Verlag-Basel), 339-348.
- [9] R. M. El-Ashwah, A note on certain meromorphic p -valent functions, Appl. Math. Letter, 22(2009), 1756-1759.
- [10] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations and univalent functions, Michigan Math. J. 28(1981), 157-171.
- [11] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations : Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol.225, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 2000.
- [12] N. Nunokawa, On the order of strongly starlikeness of strongly convex functions, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser.A Math. Sci. 69(1993), 234-237.

- [13] Qing Yang and Jin-Lin Liu” Argument Property for Certain Analytic Functions ” Abstr. Appl. Anal. Vol. 2012, Article ID 391038, 8 pages.
- [14] H.M. Srivastava, J. Patel, Applications of differential subordination to certain classes of meromorphically multivalent functions, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 6(2005), no. 3, 1-15.
- [15] H. Silverman and E. M. Silvia, Subclasses of starlike functions subordinate to convex functions, Canad. J. Math. 37(1985), 48-61.
- [16] B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, New criteria for memorphic starlike univalent functions, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 43(1991), 137-140.
- [17] B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, Certain classes of memorphic multivalent functions, Tamkang J. Math. 23(1992), 223-231.
- [18] D. -G. Yang, On a class of meromorphic starlike multivalent functions, Bull.Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica 24(1996), 151-157.

E.E. Ali

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

Faculty of Science, Port-Said University,

Port Said, 42521, Egypt

Email: ekram_008eg@yahoo.com

R.M. El-Ashwah

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science, Damietta University,

New Damiette, 34517, Egypt

Email: r_elashwah@yahoo.com

M.K. Aouf

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science, Mansoura University,

Mansoura, 35516, Egypt

Email: mkaouf127@yahoo.com